STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-5886 2024 January 10, 2013 Wayne (19)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on J anuary 10, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participant s on behalf of Claimant in cluded the claimant and the Department of Human Services (Department) included				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Did the Departm ent properly $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $				
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received benefits for:				
Family Independence Program (FIP).] Adult Medical As	ssistance (AMP).		

State Disability Assistance (SDÁ).
Child Development and Care (CDC).

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

2.	On August 1, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to the Claimant not being found to be the "primary caretaker" for the Claimant's two minor children.		
3.	On September 19, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.		
4.	On October 8, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial of the application. \square closure of the case.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
	epartment policies are contained in the Bri dges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.		
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence elency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.		
Se Th Ag	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence lency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 0.105.		
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .		
for Se pro	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through alle 400.3180.		

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the Department ci tes BEM 211 as the policy that governs this issue. In part BEM 211 states:

For all Group 2 FIP-related MA and Healthy Kids categories, when a child lives with both parents who do not live with each other (for example, child lives with his mother two w eeks each month and his father the other two weeks), only one parent, the primary caretaker, is in the fiscal group. You must determine a primary caretaker.

The primary caretaker is the par ent who is primarily responsible for the child's day-to-day care and supervision in the home where the child sleeps more than half the days in a month, when averaged over a twelve month period. The twelve month period begins at the time the determination is being made. Vacations and v isitation with the abs ent parent do no t interrupt primary caretaker status. See rules in BEM 255 concerning support from the other parent; see Verification Sources in this item.

Joint physical custody occurs when parents alternate taking responsibility for the child's day-to-day care and supervision. It may be included in a court order or may be an informal arrangement between parents. A child is considered to be living with only one parent in a joint custody arrangement. This parent is the primary caretaker. (BEM 211, p.2; August 1, 2012).

The above policy does not spell out how the Department is to determine the "primary caretaker."

Then without setting out the criteria upon which to decide who is the primary caretaker, policy states that "a child is considered to be living with only one parent in a joint custody arrangement. This parent is the primary caretaker."

At the hearing the Claimant testified that the court had awarded joint physical custody to both parents.

Policy gov erning similar situations for FI P and FAP designate the parent that first applies for benefits as the parent that receives the benefits.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

Date Signed: March 11, 2013

Date Mailed: March 11, 2013

properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case	☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case			
for:				
DECISION AND ORDER				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.				
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.				
	Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services			

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or

reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,

2013-5886/LMF

- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

