## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

	THE		TED	$\Delta \mathbf{r}$
IN		IVI 🕰 I		( ) <b>:</b>

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-5629 2006 March 28, 2013 Wayne (41)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer		
HEARING DEC	ISION	
behalf of the Department of Human Services Medical Contact Worker.	for a hearing. from Detroit, Michi Authorized Repre aimant did not app (Department) incli	After due notice, a gan. Participants on esentative, pear. Participants on uded,
On May 14, 2013, the case was reassigned to A for preparation of the decision and order.	Administrative Law	Judge Jan Leventer
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Did the Department properly $\boxtimes$ deny Claimant's for:	s application 🗌 cl	ose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐	_	sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received benefits for:					
	<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).</li> <li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).</li> </ul>					
	<ol> <li>On July 12, 2012, the Department</li></ol>					
3.	On July 12, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.					
4.	On October 10, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the $\boxtimes$ denial of the application. $\square$ closure of the case.					
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW						
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).					
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Jency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.					
ca: ("F da	Iditionally, the following findings of fact and conclusions of law are entered in this se. On March 29, 2012, Claimant filed a Department Filing Form, DHS-1171-Form F"), applying for Medicaid benefits. Form F guarantees that the customer's filing te will be the date on the Filing Form. The customer may complete the application at ater date while maintaining the earlier filing date. Dept. Exh. 1, p. 9.					

On July 12, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's "5/29/2012 and Retro" application. Dept. Exh. 1, pp. 6-7. It would appear, therefore, that in this case the Department erred and denied a nonexistent application. The Department also in this case references as its Exhibit 4, a June 3, 2012 application, stating that Claimant signed and returned it to her specialist. However, the Department's Exhibit 4 is an application with a handwritten date of March 4, 2012. There is no June 3, 2012 application in the record. *Id.*, pp. 2, 6-7, 18-39.

The Department presented no reason for the discrepancy between the March 29, 2012 and May 29, 2012 application dates. The Department did not specify what the Claimant's official application date should be.

Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105, "Rights and Responsibilities," requires the Department to determine eligibility, provide benefits and protect client rights. In this case, having considered all of the evidence as a whole, it is found and determined that the Department failed to protect Claimant's filing date of March 29, 2012, thus abridging her right to full coverage in the MA program.

It is found and determined that the Department failed to assign the correct filing date in this case, and, the Department obfuscated the question by presenting the April 4, May 29, and June 3 references in evidence. The Department's lack of care in protecting Claimant's application date renders the Department's testimony in this case unreliable and unhelpful. It is impossible to find otherwise than that the Department failed to protect Claimant's rights in this case. The Department shall be reversed.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department					
<ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ properly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>					
for:					
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\square$ did act properly. $\square$ did not act properly.					
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.					
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING WITHIN TEN (10) DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:					
Reinstate Claimant's MA and retro MA application.					

- 2. Redetermine Claimant's eligibility, using all available income, asset and medical information.
- 3. Provide MA and retroactive MA to Claimant at the benefit level to which she is entitled.

4. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 5, 2013

Date Mailed: June 5, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/cl

