STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:			
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-5600 2018 April 15, 2013 Oakland (63-03)	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. O	wens		
HEARING DECISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 15, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included			
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case for:			
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the cevidence on the whole record, finds as material fac		rial, and substantial	
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received benefits for:			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐	•	sistance (AMP). ssistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).	

2.	On October 1, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to failure to return a recertification packet.
3.	On September 17, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On October 2, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

In the instant case, the Department issued a notice of case action on September 17, 2012. This notice indicated Claimant's Medicare Savings program and ALMB eligibility would end on October 1, 2012, due a failure on Claimant's part to return a redetermination packet. The Department acknowledges this closure was in error, as the packet had been received but had not been logged in time to prevent the computer-generated case action. Claimant filed a hearing request on October 2, 2012, challenging this closure. The Department attempted to correct the error and complete the redetermination of Claimant's benefits on October 3, 2012. After processing, the Department determined Claimant had excess assets for the program and left his case closed. The Department never issued a notice of case action to indicate the basis for leaving the case closed.

This Administrative Law Judge has jurisdiction over the case action taken prior to the hearing request. The Department appears to have attempted additional actions following the original case action but failed to remedy the original case action. The Department correctly determined they had mistakenly closed Claimant's case based upon a failure to return a redetermination packet. Once this was determined, the closure of Claimant's case needed to be remedied prior to the Department processing the redetermination. The Department must provide a written notice of case action on actions impacting benefits. Here, the Department allowed a faulty notice of case action and the resulting closure to remain in place.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case	☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case		
for:			
DECISION AND ORDER			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. did not act properly.			
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.			
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:			
Reinstate Claimant's MA benefits back	k to date of closure;		
2. Complete the appropriate redetermina	ation according to policy;		
3. Issue a written determination.			
	Jonathan W. Owens Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services		
Date Signed: April 24, 2013	20pa 31		
Date Mailed: April 24, 2013			

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

2013-5600/JWO

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JWO/pf

