# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-5595

Issue No.: 6019

Case No.: Hearing Date:

February 13, 2013

County: Isabella

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong on behalf of Suzanne Morris

#### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 13, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claim ant included Participants on behalf of Department of Human Servic es (Department) included Program Manager and Eligibility Specialist

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's applic ation for Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for CDC benefits on September 17, 2012.
- On September 21, 2012, the department mailed Claim ant a Notice of Case
   Action denying Claimant's CDC application because the parent/substitute parent
   does not have a need for child day care services due t o employment, education
   or family preservation reasons.
- 3. On October 3, 2012, the department received Cla imant's hearing request contesting the denial of CDC benefits.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Child Development and Car e (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Sec urity Act, the Child Ca re and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Res ponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implement ed by Title 45 of the Code of F ederal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and childr en pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

In a two parent household, the department compares the work schedule of bot h parents. If the need hours do not overlap, the department denies the application. BEM 710, p 1 (1/1/12).

In this cas e, Claimant works Monday th rough Friday from 8am to 5:15pm and her husband works from 10:45pm to 7:45am. Because the work schedule of both parent s did not overlap, the application was properly denied based on policy.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department did act pr operly when it denied Claimant's application for CDC.

Accordingly, the Department's CDC decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Vicki L. Armstrong Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 31, 2013

Date Mailed: June 3, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evid ence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical erro r, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### VLA/las

