STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

INI	ти	_ ^ ^	TTE	R OF:
114				K UF

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-5357 3021 November 15, 2012 SSPC-East
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke	e	
HEARING DECIS	SION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on November Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant Department of Human Services (Department) Manager.	for a hearing. 15, 2012, from mant. P <u>articipan</u>	After due notice, a Detroit, Michigan.
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Due to excess assets, did the Department proper close Claimant's case for:	ly ⊠ deny the Cl	aimant's application
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		Assistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)?
FINDINGS OF FA	ACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, including the testin fact:	competent, mater	•
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits □ received be	enefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)		Assistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA).

2.	Claimant had \$13,000.00 in a mutual fund at the time of his application.
3.	Due to excess assets, on September 10, 2012, the Department ⊠ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's case.
4.	On September 10, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
5.	On October 16, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial of the application. \square closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Per BEM 400, Countable assets cannot exceed the applicable asset limit. The FAP asset limit is \$5,000.00. (BEM 400, p. 4)

In the present case, Claimant does not deny that he possessed \$13,000.00 in a mutual fund at the time of his application. Claimant states that his mother loaned him \$10,000.00 and he placed those funds in the mutual fund. Although Department policy details a loan exclusion for the Family Independence Program (FIP), the policy does not specify a loan exclusion with regard to FAP. (BEM 400, p.15) The Department was therefore correct in denying Claimant's FAP application.

Claimant argues that he is using the funds to pay his expenses, and that his liabilities exceed his assets. However, Department policy addresses only the asset limit.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department

 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP MA SDA FAP decision is
igtigtherapsup AFFIRMED $igchingtharpoonup$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 11/21/2012

Date Mailed: 11/21/2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/hw

CC:

