STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

2013-49922 3002

June 26, 2013 Wayne (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 26, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist and J , Family Independence Manager.

ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly deny the Claimant's application \Box close Claimant's case \boxtimes reduce Claimant's benefits for:

\boxtimes

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant

 \square applied for benefits for: \square received benefits for:

	Family Independence Program (FIP).
\boxtimes	Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
- State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- Child Development and Care (CDC).

2013-49922/JL

- On June 1, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application
 closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.
- On May 21, 2013, the Department sent
 □ Claimant □ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the □ denial. □ closure. □ reduction.
- 4. On May 28, 2013, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ⊠ reduction of benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Additionally, the Department policy that applies in this case is Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 500, "Income Overview." This policy requires the Department to calculate the customer's benefit level using the gross earned and unearned income of the customer. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 500 (2013).

In this case the following facts are important. On June 1, 2013, Claimant's Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits from the U.S. Social Security Administration increased to a gross amount of \$542.50. Dept. Exh. 1, p. 8. Based on the increase in Claimant's SSI benefits, the Department was required by BEM 500 to recalculate Claimant's FAP benefit amount and adjust it. Because Claimant's income went up, this resulted in a decrease in Claimant's FAP benefits.

Having considered all of the evidence in this case as a whole, it is found and determined that the Department acted correctly in accordance with its policy and procedure in this case. BEM 500 requires the recalculation of FAP assistance to a customer when the customer's income changes. In this case the adjustment resulted in a decrease. This is a decrease that is required by law. BEM 500.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department

denied Claimant's application

 \boxtimes reduced Claimant's benefits

closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's 🗌 AMP 🗌 FIP 🔀 FAP 🗌 MA 🗌 SDA 🗌 CDC decision	on
is \square AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.	

She

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 2, 2013

Date Mailed: July 2, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

