STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



2013-4949 Reg. No.: Issue No.: 6000 Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

March 28, 2013 Wayne (31)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 28, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included , Eligibility Specialist.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly:

denied Claimant's application for benefits

Closed Claimant's case for benefits

reduced Claimant's benefits

for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistar	nce (SDA)?
Child Development and	Care (CDC)?
State Emergency Service	ces (SER)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On August 10, 2012, the Department: denied Claimant's application for benefits

reduced Claimant's benefits

under the following program(s):

🗌 FIP	🗌 FAP	🗌 MA	AMP	SDA 🗌	\boxtimes CDC	SER.
-------	-------	------	-----	-------	-----------------	------

2. On September 28, 2012, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:

\boxtimes	approval of Claimant's child care provider effective September 9, 2012.
	closure
	reduction.

3. On October 3, 2012, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

☑ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reinstate Claimant's CDC benefits from August 12, 2012-October 6, 2012, review the adequacy of the child care payments provided, and provide retroactive benefits as appropriate.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTIONS WITHIN 10 (TEN) DAYS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's CDC benefits from August 12, 2012-October 6, 2012.
- 2. Redetermine Claimant's eligibility for CDC for August 12-October 6, 2012.
- 3. Provide retroactive CDC benefits to Claimant for August 12-October 6, 2012 at the benefit level to which she is entitled.
- 4. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Obe.

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 28, 2013

Date Mailed: March 28, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JL/tm

