

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

██████████  
██████████  
██████████

Reg. No.: 2013 49244  
Issue No.: 3052  
Case No.: ██████████  
Hearing Date: June 27, 2013  
County: Wayne (17)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Lynn M. Ferris

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37; MCL 400.43 (a); 1997 AACS R 400.941 and MCL 240201, et seq., upon a hearing request by the Department of Human Services (Department) to establish an over issuance (OI) of benefits to Respondent. After due notice, a hearing was held on June 27, 2013.

Respondent appeared and testified.

The Department Recoupment Specialist ██████████ appeared on behalf of the Department.

**ISSUE**

Did Respondent receive an OI of  FIP  FAP  SDA  CDC benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Respondent was a recipient of  FIP  FAP  SDA  CDC during the period 11/1/11 through 2/28/13.
2. Respondent received a  FIP  FAP  SDA  CDC OI during the period 11/1/11 through 2/28/13, due to  Department's Agency Error  Respondent's error.
3. \$3129 of the OI is still due and owing to the Department.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Additionally, at the hearing the Claimant did not dispute the amount of the \$3129 overissuance but sought review of the recoupment and debt collection due to the fact that at all times pertinent to the overissuance period, 11/1/11 through 2/28/13, the Claimant advised the Department that he was a full-time student. A review of the applicable policy does indicate that the Department is required to recoup an overissued benefit under these circumstances even though the Claimant was not at fault. Thus the Department properly pursued a recoupment of FAP benefits in accordance with the Department recoupment policy. BAM 705 (2/15/13)

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department


properly  improperly determined that Respondent received a \$3129 OI of  
 FIP  FAP  SDA  CDC benefits.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department  did  did not make the correct determination to establish a debt.

Accordingly, the Department is AFFIRMED  REVERSED  AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to \_\_\_\_\_ and REVERSED IN PART with respect to \_\_\_\_\_ for the reasons stated on the record.

The Department is ORDERED to initiate collection procedures in accordance with Department policy in the amount of \$3129.



**Lynn M. Ferris**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 3, 2013  
Date Mailed: July 3, 2013

**NOTICE:** The law provides that within 60 days from the mailing date of the above hearing Decision the Respondent may appeal it to the circuit court for the county in which he/she resides or has his or her principal place of business in this state, or in the circuit court for Ingham County. Administrative Hearings, on its own motion, or on request of a party within 60 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, may order a rehearing.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at  
Michigan Administrative hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

cc: [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]