STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| IN THE MATTER (| | | |
|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Reg. No.: 2013-49239

Issue Nos.: 1000, 2000, 3000, 6000

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 24, 2013 County: Wayne (31)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 24, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Francisco Fran

ISSUE

| Whether the Department properly: | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits | |
| for: | |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? | ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?☐ State Emergency Services (SER)? |

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On May 20, 2013, the Department:
 - ☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits

| | ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | under the following program(s): |
| | |
| 2. | On May 20, 2013, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the: |
| | ☑ denial☐ closure☐ reduction. |
| 3. | On May 22, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action. |
| | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW |
| Eligibi | tment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges lity Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM). |
| Respo 42 US Agend throug | e Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ensibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 th Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program we October 1, 1996. |
| progra impler Regula Agend | the Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) at a stablished by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal actions (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 2001 through Rule 400.3015. |
| Securi The D | e Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 05. |
| and X | e Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE X of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. |

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reinstate and reprocess Claimant's application, and provide appropriate benefits.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITHIN TEN DAYS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's application.
- 2. Provide a detailed Verification Checklist to Claimant specifying what verifications and paystubs are needed from Claimant's employers Toys'R Us and Kmart.
- 3. Determine Claimant's eligibility for FIP, FAP, MA and CDC, and provide retroactive and ongoing benefits at the benefit levels to which she is entitled.
- 4. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 25, 2013

Date Mailed: June 25, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JL/tm

