#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 2013 48522 3002, 1015

June 19, 2013 Wayne (17)

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 19, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. **Excercise**, ES, appeared as an interpreter for the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Excercise** Family Case Manager.

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Due to excess income, did the Department properly  $\Box$  deny the Claimant's application  $\Box$  close Claimant's case  $\boxtimes$  calculate the Claimant's benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)?Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
  - State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
- Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant  $\Box$  applied for benefits for:  $\Box$  received benefits for:
  - Family Independence Program (FIP).
  - ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).
    ☐ Medical Assistance (MA).
- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
- State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- Child Development and Care (CDC).

2. On May 7, 2013, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case ⊠ recalculated the Claimant's benefits after a redetermination.

On May	v 7 2013	the Der	partment sent
	y 1, <b>2</b> 010,		

☑ Claimant□ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)notice of the□ denial.□ closure.☑ reduction.

3. On May 17, 2013, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ⊠ calculation of benefits.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

∑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

⊠ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Additionally, a thorough review of the Claimant's FAP budget was made at the hearing. The Claimant confirmed the income received by the household from SSI of \$710 each month received by the Claimant's wife and daughter. The group size of 5 was correct and the shelter amount of \$850 rent was also correct. Based upon this review it is determined that the Department correctly calculated the Claimant's FAP benefits. Exhibits 1, 3 and 4.

The Claimant currently receives \$492 per month in FIP cash assistance which is the maximum amount a group of 3 individuals may receive. This amount is based upon Reference Table 210, effective 2008. This table also provides that SSI recipients, in this case the Claimant's wife and child cannot be included in the FIP group because they receive SSI.

Based upon the above Find	dings of Fact a	nd Conclusion	ons of Law,	and	for the	reasons
stated on the record, the	Administrative	Law Judge	concludes	that,	due to	excess
income, the Department	🛛 properly	🗌 imprope	erly			

denied Claimant's application

reduced Claimant's benefits

 $\boxtimes$  calculated the Claimant's Food Assistance and Cash Assistance Benefits.

for:  $\square$  AMP  $\boxtimes$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  $\Box$  did act properly  $\Box$  did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's  $\square$  AMP  $\boxtimes$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC decision is  $\boxtimes$  AFFIRMED  $\square$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Lynn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 25, 2013

Date Mailed: June 25, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### LMF/cl

