STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-47928 1030 June 19, 2013 Macomb DHS
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully		
HEARING DECISION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on J une 19, 2013 from Lansing, Mi chigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included		
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Did Claim ant receive an overissuance of pentitled to recoup?	rogram benefits that	the Department is
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:		
 During the period of November 1, 2012, received benefits for: 	through November	30, 2012, Claimant
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).		Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).
 The Department determined that Claimant received a		
3. The overissuance was due to Depart	tment error. 🛚 🖂 clie	ent error.

- 4. On April 29, 2013, the D epartment sent notice of the overissuance and a repayment agreement to Claimant.
- 5. On May 10, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, pr otesting the Department's recoupment action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was es tablished pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Depar tment became aware that the Claimant was receiving unreported income from a conversation that the Claimant had with his caseworker.

The Claimant testified that he did not withhold any information from his caseworker.

Clients must report changes in circumstance that pot entially affect eligibility or benefit amount within 10 days of receiving the first payment reflecting the change. Income reporting requirements are limited to the following:

Earned income:

- Starting or stopping employment.
- Changing employers.
- Change in rate of pay.
- Change in work hours of more than five hours per week that is expected to continue for more than one month.
- Unearned income:
- Starting or stopping a source of unearned income.
- Change in gross monthly inc ome of more than \$50 s ince the last reported change.

Department of Human Services Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 105.

Regardless of when t he Claimant reports his income to the Department, the ev idence supports a finding that this income was not used to determine his eligibility to receive Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits. If this in come had been used to determine the Claimant's eligibility to receive FIP benefits, he would have been found to be ineligible. Since the Claimant rece ived benefits he was not entitled to, the Department is required to attempt to recoup these benefits.

stated	on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that Claimant
	did receive an overissuance for \square FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC benefits in the amount of \$ that the Department is entitled to recoup.
reco	did not receive the overissuance for which the Department presently seeks upment.
	DECISION AND ORDER
of Lav	Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions w, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department d act properly.
	dingly, the Depar tment's decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED f or the ns stated on the record.
	IE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
	/ <u>s/</u> Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date	Signed: June 26, 2013
Date	Mailed: <u>June 26, 2013</u>
recon	<u>CE</u> : Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or sideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of ailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons

the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

• A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.

- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Recons ideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/kl

CC:

