STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:	2013-47325
Issue No.:	3008
Case No.:	
Hearing Date:	June 19, 2013
County:	SSPC WEST

2013

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on J une 19, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Assistance Payments Worker,

ISSUE

Did the Departm ent properly \Box deny Claiman t's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant applied for benefits received benefits for:

imes

Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA). Child Development and Care (CDC).
- 2. On May 1, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application Closed Claimant's case due to his failure to submit the requested verification.

- On May 3, 2013, the Department sent
 Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.
- 4. On May 10, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ⊠ closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is im plemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA pr ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and MC L 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, R 400. 3151 through Rule 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98

and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Though there was no documentary evidence of s uch in the hearing packet at the hearing, the Department testified that the Claimant reapplied for FAP benefits on May 3, 2013 and has been issued F AP benefits for Ma y. The Claimant contested that statement during the hearing. After the hearing, a more complete hearing packet was brought to the Administrative Law Judge c ontaining additional page s that were not admitted into evidence and they are marked P13 and P14. Those documents were not considered in this decision.

In this case, the ve rification that the Claimant submitted to the Department was unacceptable to the Department because t he Claimant provided no pay stub and the DHS-38, Verification of Employ ment was not signed by the em ployer, nor was it specific, though it did indic ate that the Claimant's employ ment was expected to end on March 21, 2013. The Claimant testified that he had no pay stubs because his pay was direct deposited into his account. The Claim ant testified that he did call the Department worker at one point to tell her that he was waiting on documents from his employer. The Claimant stated that he is no longer welcome at his previous job and he had a friend take the DHS-38, Verification of Employ ment form into his previous s upervisor to complete and that his supervisor did that. The Claim ant testified that he had no pay stubs and when he asked his employer for them he was told he had to g o through the bank to obtain them. He does not have a car.

Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 200 (2012) p. 4 instructs Departmental workers to not deny or terminate assistance because an employer or other source refuses to verify income. Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 130 (2012) p. 3 provides that the client must obtain required verification, but the Department worker must assist if they need and request help. In this case, the Claimant did not request help, but did telephone the Department's worker to say that he was wa iting on documents from his employer. BAM 130 (2012) p. 2 does provide that the worker can make a collateral contact. BAM 130 (2012) p. 6 provides that t he Department's worker give the Claimant an opportunity to resolve any discrepancy betwe en his statements and informati on from another source. BAM 130 p. 5 provides that verifications are considered to be tim ely if received by the BAM 130 p. 5 instructs Department workers to send a negative date they are due. action notice when the client indicates refusal to provide a verification, or when the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. In this case, the Administrative Law Judge determines that the time period to submit the verification had lapsed, but t he Claimant in this case had made a reasonab le effort to provide the verification, though the verification was lacking.

In this case, the Administrative Law Judge determines that the Claimant's worker could have telephoned the employer t o resolve any discrepancy about what the Claimant 's income was/is and to confirm the employment end date. In t he alternative, the Department's worker could have also issued another DHS-3503, Verification Check list informing the Claimant that the DHS-38, Verification of Employment was insufficient and notifying him that his pay stubs were still required to prevent closure of the case.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

properly denied Claimant's application	improperly denied Claimant's application
properly closed Claimant's case	☐ improperly closed Claimant's case

for:	AMP	🗌 FIP	🛛 FAP	🗌 MA [SDA [CDC.
------	-----	-------	-------	--------	-------	------

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law finds that the Department

 \Box did act properly. \Box did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP K FAP AA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Redetermine the Claimant's eligibility for FAP back to the application date, and
- 2. Issue the Claimant any supplement he may thereafter be due.

<u>/s/</u>

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 21, 2013

Date Mailed: June 24, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error r, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/las

