## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-47286 Issue Nos.: 2003, 3014

Case No.: Hearing Date:

County:

June 10, 2013 Wayne (82-19)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

#### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 10, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

### ISSUE

| Did the Department properly $oxtime oxtime $ deny Clair<br>for:                                  | mant's application  close Claimant's case  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? | <ul><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li><li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?</li><li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?</li></ul> |  |  |
| FINDINGS OF FACT   |  |  |  |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on   | the competent, material, and substantial   |  |  |

1. Claimant 
☐ applied for benefits ☐ received benefits for:

|          | Family Independence Program (FIP). |
|----------|------------------------------------|
| $\times$ | Food Assistance Program (FAP).     |
|          | NA                                 |

evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

Medical Assistance (MA).

| Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).    |
|------------------------------------|
| State Disability Assistance (SDA). |

Child Development and Care (CDC).

| <ol> <li>On April 1, 2013, the Department</li></ol>  |
|--|
| 3. On May 6, 2013, the Department sent<br>☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.  |
| <ol> <li>On May 6, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the</li></ol>  |
| CONCLUSIONS OF LAW   |
| Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).   |
| ☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015. |
| The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.   |
| Claimant is a 17-year-old, non-emancipated minor and is included on his father's FAF and MA case with the Department. Claimant argued that he left his father's home three months ago and no longer resides with him. Claimant was unable to provide any documentation of his having left his father's home and was also unable to provide documentation of his living at a different address. BEM 212 (November 2012).        |
| Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department   |
| <ul> <li>☑ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>☐ properly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>☐ improperly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>☐ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>   |
| for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.   |

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\boxtimes$ did act properly. $\square$ did not act properly. |
|---|
| Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.                |

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 18, 2013

Date Mailed: June 18, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

## 2013-47286/MJB

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

# MJB/pf

