## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| IAI | THE |       | TED | OF. |
|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|
| 117 | ınr | IVIAI | IFR | UT: |

| 211   | Reg. No.:<br>Issue No.:<br>Case No.:<br>Hearing Date:<br>County: | 2013 47068<br>3009<br>June 10, 2013<br>Wayne County DHS 55   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. F   | erris  |  |  |  |  |
| HEARING DECISION  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 10, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Essential Reservices. |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>ISSUE</u>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Did the Department properly $\boxtimes$ deny Claimant's application $\square$ close Claimant's case for:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li></ul>  |  | sistance (AMP)?<br>ssistance (SDA)?<br>nt and Care (CDC)?    |  |  |  |
| FINDINGS OF FACT  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received benefits for:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>  |  | esistance (AMP).<br>Assistance (SDA).<br>ent and Care (CDC). |  |  |  |
|   | osed Claimant's case   |  |  |  |  |

| 3. | On May 1, 2013, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure. |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 4. | . On May 14, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. |  |  |  |
|    | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW  |  |  |  |

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Additionally, individuals convicted of certain crimes, fugitive felons, and probation or parole violators are not eligible for assistance. BEM 203 (May 2013), p 1. BEM 203 defines a fugitive felon as someone who: is subject to arrest under an outstanding warrant arising from a felony charge against that person (this includes persons charged with felony welfare fraud who fail to appear in court); is subject to arrest under an outstanding warrant for extradition arising from a criminal charge against that person in another jurisdiction or; admits to being a fugitive felon. BEM 203, p. 1. Bridges will disqualify the individual as a fugitive felon as long as he or she is subject to arrest under an outstanding warrant. BEM 203, p. 2.

The Department matches benefit recipient data with the Michigan State Police (MSP), which identifies on a monthly basis clients who are currently fugitive felons and on a daily basis clients who are no longer fugitive felons. BAM 811 (May 2013), p 1; see also MCL 400.10c. This automated process identifies an exact match based on first name, last name, date of birth, social security number and gender. The monthly match will set to close any clients identified as a fugitive felon. BAM 811, p 1. When a match appears on the Department's system, the Department is required to send the client a Notice of Case Action informing the client that they have a criminal justice disqualification showing, and to go to a local law enforcement agency to resolve the issue. BAM 811, p 1.

At the hearing the Department failed to provide any evidence of Claimant meeting one of the criminal justice disqualification criteria as of the date of hearing other than a the Notice of Case Action which was the only evidence offered. (Exhibit 1). Further, there was no letter presented from the Michigan Office of Inspector General (OIG) indicating that as of the hearing date Claimant continued to be an individual subject to an arrest on an outstanding felony warrant, nor was there the Bridges print out presented that could

verify Claimant's name, birth date, and social security number being the same as the one appearing under the data match.

Therefore, the Department has not satisfied its burden in establishing that Claimant was a fugitive felon and/or subject to a criminal justice disqualification on any basis, resulting in his ineligibility for FAP benefits. As such, the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's FAP case effective April 30, 2013 based on a criminal justice disqualification.

| Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department   |
|--|
| <ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ properly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>   |
| for:   |
| DECISION AND ORDER   |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.  |
| Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. |
| oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:  |
| 1. The Department shall re register the Claimant's April 30, 2013 FAP application and shall process the application in accordance with Department policy and   |

2. The Department shall provide the Claimant notice of its determination of eligibility

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 17, 2013

determine the Claimant's eligibility.

in accordance with Department policy.

Date Mailed: June 17, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## LMF/cl

