STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

Reg. No.: 201346983

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	3008 June 19, 2013 Calhoun	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E	. Harris		
HEARING DE	ECISION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 19, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Research Research Fluid Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Research Researc			
<u>ISSUI</u>	<u>E</u>		
Did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case for:			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	<u> </u>	sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS O	F FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:			
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ⊠ receive	d benefits for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).	

2.	There is no DHS, Notice of Case Action in evidence; however, the Department's hearing summary indicates that on May 13, 2013, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to her non-cooperation with the OIG Agent.
3.	There is no DHS, Notice of Case Action in evidence; however, the Department's hearing summary indicates that on May 13, 2013, the Department sent \square Claimant \square Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the \square denial. \square closure.
4.	On May 13, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 0.105.
□ ad	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.		
The statement of the OIG Agent in evidence maintains that the Claimant is not cooperative with the OIG Agent, as the Claimant will not return the OIG Agent's telephone calls. The Claimant has maintained in her hearing request and during the hearing that she does telephone the OIG Agent and leaves a voice mail message, but that the OIG Agent will not return her calls. When the Administrative Law Judge asked the ES at the hearing why the OIG Agent was not present to testify, she responded that the OIG Agent was on vacation and she further offered that she has no problem "getting a hold of" the Claimant. The ES also testified that she had no personal knowledge of the OIG Agent's asserted non-cooperation.		
The Administrative Law Judge has carefully reviewed the policies cited in the hearing summary and could find no provision in these policies which would allow a FAP case to be summarily closed simply because an OIG Agent asserts that the Claimant is non-cooperative. Most importantly, there was no one present at the hearing with any personal knowledge of the Claimant failing to telephone the OIG Agent and this fact was contested from the onset of the hearing and even from the receipt of the hearing request. The Administrative Law Judge therefore determines that the Department has not met its burden of proving that the closure of the Claimant's FAP case was in accordance with its policy.		
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department		
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case 		
for:		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law finds that the Department did act properly. did not act properly.		
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED.		

☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- Initiate action to reinstate the Claimant's FAP benefits back to the closure date, and
- 2. Initiate action to issue the Claimant any supplement she may thereafter be due.

/s/

Susanne E. Harris
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 20, 2013

Date Mailed: June 21, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/aca

