STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013 4687 Issue No.: 2026, 3003 Case No.: December 19, 2012 Hearing Date: Wayne (19) County:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 19, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Servic es (Department) included Assistanc e Payments Supervisor and ES..

ISSUE

Due to income, did the Department properly deny the Claimant's application close Claimant's case \bigotimes calculate Claimant's benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA) Deductible?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	Cla	imant	
	0.0	in the state of th	

applied for benefits for: Received benefits for:

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Family Independence Program (FIP).

- Medical Assistance (MA).
- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- Child Development and Care (CDC).

- 2. On 10/1/12, the Department denied Claimant's application
 closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits in notified Claimant that her FAP benefits were \$18 and that she had Medica I Assistance with a \$651 deductible based on her income.
- On 9/26/12, the Department sent
 Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction
 ☑ approval for FAP and Medical with a deductible
- On 10/1/12, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the

 ☐ denial of the application.
 ☐ closure of the case.
 ☐ reduction of benefits
 ☑ requesting a hearing to determine ho
 w her benefits for FAP and M
 edical deductible were calculated.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult M	ledical Program (A	AMP) is	established by 42	USC 1315, and is
administered by	y the Department	pursuant to M	1CL 400.10, et seq	

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) progr am, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, at the hear ing a thorough review of t he Claimant's FAP budget wa s conducted and the Department explained in detail how th e amount of income bot h based on unemploy ment and RSDI received by Claimant's daughter were determined and the correct formula was applied. Exhibits 1 and 4. The Claimant also confirmed that the correct rent amount was used by the De partment and the excess shelt er expense was reviewed and explained. Based upon the evidence presented it is determined that the Department ent properly calculated the Claimant's Food Assistance benefits.

The Claimant also receives medical assi stance and r equested an explanation how the medical as sistance deductible was calc ulated. At the hearing a budget was reviewed but the explanation given by the Department di d not review the calc ulation but merely relied on the Bridges system calculation.

Based on t he evidence produced the Department did not sust ain its burden of proof. The budget discussed at the hearing was not provided to the undersigned until after the hearing, and thus no review could be made at the time of the hearing. Exhibit 4. T he budget to determine the medical deductible is determined based upon a series of steps found in Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual, (BEM) 536 (1/2010).

Following the formula set out in policy t he unearned income Claimant's receives from unemployment totals \$1364 per month. Claimant testified she receives \$692 bi-weekly. Policy directs that the gross income is to be divided by a pro rate divisor determined by adding the number of dependents in the fiscal group to 2. 9 and d ividing the gross income by the pro rate divisor. In this case the gross income \$1384 is divided by 3.9 as the Claimant has one dependent and the result is \$354. (\$1384 ÷ 3.9 = 354.)

The next step requires that \$354 be then deducted from the gr oss income to determine the adult's share of the adult's own income which equals the total net income of \$1030. (\$1384 - 354 = \$1030). It is noted that this sum as calculated by the Department as (\$1026) in the budget provided was different by \$4.

The next step requires that the Income limit parameter established by policy as a minimum income limit for eligibility for medical assistance be deducted from the total net income, \$1030, to determine the deductible. T he income limit for one adult person in living in W ayne County is \$375 as estab lished by RFT 240, (7/2007). Thus the deductible is total net income of \$1030 - \$375 = \$655 deductible. As the budget was

not reviewed at the hearing it cannot be det ermined why the Department's deductible is lower than as calculated above.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to income, the Department

properly calculated Claimant's FAP benefits

 \boxtimes improperly calculated the Claimant's deductible

for: \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

 \boxtimes did act properly in calculating the FAP benefits

did not act properly in calculating the Claimant's deductible.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP K FAP K MA SDA CDC decision is

 \boxtimes AFFIRMED with regard to the calculation of FAP benefits

REVERSED for the reasons set forth above as the MA deductible budget was not explained as to how the deductible was determined and as stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. The Department shall initiate rec alculation of the Claimant's MA deductible amount to determine the correct amount in accordance with Department policy.

Lynn M. Ferris` Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 21, 2012

Date Mailed: December 21, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

CC:			
		I	