# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-46429

Issue No.: <u>1000</u>

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 10, 2013 County: Wayne (82-76)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

### SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 10, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly denied Claimant's application for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On January 15, 2013, Claimant applied for FIP benefits.
- 2. On April 19, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action denying her FIP application.
- 3. On May 9, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are found in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

Claimant applied for FIP benefits on January 15, 2013. After the Department denied her application in an April 19, 2013, Notice of Case Action, Claimant filed a request for hearing on May 9, 2013, disputing the Department's action. The Department testified that, in reviewing Claimant's case, it became aware that it had never sent Claimant a work participation program appointment notice. The Department acknowledged that it had erred in processing Claimant's January 15, 2013, FIP application.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2). Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: (i) send Claimant a work participation program appointment notice; and (ii) issue supplements to Claimant for FIP benefits totaling \$492 monthly she is eligible to receive from February 1, 2013, ongoing upon her compliance, in accordance with Department policy, with the work participation program.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

### THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

1. Send Claimant a work participation program appointment notice; and

2. Issue supplements to Claimant for FIP benefits totaling \$492 monthly she is eligible to receive from February 1, 2013, ongoing upon her compliance, in accordance with Department policy, with the work participation program.

Alice C. Elkin
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 12, 2013

Date Mailed: June 13, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

# ACE/pf

