

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**



Reg. No.: 201346344  
Issue No.: 3008, 2006  
Case No.:   
Hearing Date: June 6, 2013  
County: SSPC- East (#98)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHELLE HOWIE**

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted on Thursday, June 6, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. The Claimant appeared and testified. Participant on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) was (Department Manager).

**ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly denied the Claimant's application for Food Assistant Program (FAP) and Medical Assistance (MA)?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On April 1, 2013, the Department received the Claimant's on-line application for FAP and MA benefits.
2. On April 17, 2013 the Department held an interview with the Claimant regarding her application.
3. As a result of the interview, the Department sent a verification checklist to Claimant on April 17, 2013 requesting proof of self-employment income due by April 29, 2013. (Exhibit 1)

4. The verifications were not received resulting in the Department closing the FAP benefits and denying the MA application.
5. On May 10, 2013, the Department received the Claimant's written hearing request disputing the action.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Department of Human Services (DHS) policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility to include the completion of the necessary forms. BAM 105 (September 2012), p. 5. Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements. BAM 130 (May 2012), p. 1. The client must obtain the required verification, however, the Department must assist if needed and/or requested. BAM 105, p. 8; BAM 130, p. 3. If neither the client nor the Department is able to obtain verification despite reasonable effort, the Department should use the best available information. BAM 130, p. 3. If no evidence is available, the Department should use its best judgment. BAM 130, p. 3. Clients are allowed 10 calendar days (or other time limit specified in policy) to provide the requested verifications. BAM 130, p. 5. For FAP and MA, a negative action notice is sent when the client indicates a refusal to provide the verification or the time period provided has lapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. BAM 130, p. 5.

In this case, the Claimant applied for FAP and MA benefits. The Department initiated processing and sent a Verification Checklist to the Claimant with a due date of April 29, 2013. The Verification Checklist was properly addressed and was not returned as undeliverable. Claimant testified that she did not receive the Verification Checklist. The proper addressing and mailing of a letter creates a legal presumption that it was

received. *Stacey v Sankovich*, 19 Mich App 688, 694 (1969) Notably, Claimant testified that she received all other mail sent the same date. Therefore, she did not sufficiently rebut the presumption. Further, the Claimant was informed during the interview that the income information was needed, yet there was no communication or verification received. Based on the evidence on record, the Department established it acted in accordance with policy when it denied the Claimant's April 1, 2013 MA and FAP application based on Claimant's failure to provide verification necessary to determine eligibility.

Accordingly, the Department's determination is hereby, Upheld.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly when it denied Claimant's April 1, 2013 FAP and MA application.

Accordingly, the Department's  FAP and  MA determination is hereby, **AFFIRMED**.

*M. Howie*

---

**Michelle Howie**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 6/13/2013

Date Mailed: 6/13/2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:

201346344/MH

- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MH/hw

cc:

