STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

	TI	 1 A A	_	rrp	\sim	г.
IN		VIД		ΓER	u	-:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-4621 2026 February 4, 2013 WAYNE (76)					
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Bur	ke						
HEARING DEC	ISION						
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 4, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included AP Worker.							
<u>ISSUE</u>							
Did the Department properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ calculate Claimant's deductible for:							
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?					
FINDINGS OF I	FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:							
Claimant □ applied for benefits □ received benefits for:							
Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).					

	On September 28, 2012, the Department				
3.	On September 28, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure. deductible.				
4.	On October 3, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☒ deductible.				
5.	The Department did not substantiate its calculation of Claimant's MA deductible.				
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).				
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.					
In the present case, the Department presented pay stubs of Claimant's husband and a partial budget for the benefit period of October 1, 2012 through October 31, 2012. Without a complete budget as described in BEM 536, it cannot be determined whether the Department properly calculated Claimant's deductible.					
	sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ted on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department				
□ □ de	properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case properly calculated Claimant's benefits improperly calculated Claimant's ductible.				
for	: ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC.				
	DECISION AND ORDER				
	e Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.				

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision
is ☐ AFFIRMED ☒ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- Initiate recalculation of Claimant's MA deductible, effective October 1, 2012 and ongoing.
- 2. Issue to Claimant a written notice of the MA deductible.

Susan C. Burke
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Jusa C. Bruke

Date Signed: February 7, 2013
Date Mailed: February 8, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/tm

