STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 373-4147

,	Docket No. 2013-4570 HHS Case No.
Appellant /	
DECISION AND ORDER	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 <i>et seq.</i> , upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.	
After due notice, a hearing was held on appeared without representation. He had no R.N., Appeals Review Officer, represented the ASW.	

ISSUE

Did the Department properly terminate the Appellant's Home Help Services (HHS)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

IN THE MATTER OF:

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Appellant is a -year-old Medicaid beneficiary. (Appellant's Exhibit #1)
- The Appellant alleges disability through bipolar disorder, ADHD, HTN, back pain, asthma, hearing loss, gout, and eczema. (See Testimony, Appellant's Exhibit #1, Department's Exhibit A, page 11)
- The Appellant said that the ASW "understood at our meeting" that he needed help with laundry, medication, transferring, housework and meal preparation and that "she misunderstood his positive attitude for recovery." (See Testimony)
- 4. The Department's witness, ASW Shaw, testified that she observed the Appellant and that he did not appear to need assistance with any ADL. She further reported that the Appellant has a single pronged cane – but it was not in use [or visible] during the in-home assessment. She reported that his biggest complaint was medication induced depression. (See Testimony and Department's Exhibit A, p. 12)

Docket No. 2013-4570 HHS Hearing Decision & Order

- 5. The Department witness testified that she made contact with the Appellant on for an in-home assessment. While there, the Appellant did not identify a need for hands-on services for any ADL. The Appellant's prior time and task disbursements were not available for review. (See Testimony of Shaw, Department's Exhibit A, pages 2, and 10)
- 6. The Department witness sent the Appellant an Advance Negative Action Notice on . (Department's Exhibit A, pp. 2, 5)
- 7. The Appellant's further appeal rights were contained in the Advance Negative Action Notice.
- 8. The request for hearing on the instant appeal was received by the Michigan Administrative Hearing System for the Department of Community Health on . (Appellant's Exhibit #1)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Home Help Services (HHS) are provided to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings. These activities must be certified by a medical professional.

COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT

The DHS-324, Adult Services Comprehensive Assessment is the primary tool for determining need for services. The comprehensive assessment must be completed on all open independent living services cases. ASCAP, the automated workload management system, provides the format for the comprehensive assessment and all information must be entered on the computer program.

Requirements for the comprehensive assessment include, but are not limited to:

- A comprehensive assessment will be completed on all new cases.
- A face-to-face contact is required with the client in his/her place of residence.
- The assessment may also include an interview with the individual who will be providing home help services.

Docket No. 2013-4570 HHS Hearing Decision & Order

- A new face-to-face assessment is required if there is a request for an increase in services before payment is authorized.
- A face-to-face assessment is required on all transferin cases before a payment is authorized.
- The assessment must be updated as often as necessary, but minimally at the six month review and annual redetermination.
- A release of information must be obtained when requesting documentation from confidential sources and/or sharing information from the department record.

. . . .

Adult Service Manual (ASM), §120, page 1 of 5, 5-1-2012.

Changes in the home help eligibility criteria:

Home Help Eligibility Criteria

To qualify for home help services, an individual must require assistance with at least one activity of daily living (ADL) assessed at a level 3 or greater. The change in policy must be applied to any new cases opened on or after October 1, 2011, and to all ongoing cases as of October 1, 2011.

Comprehensive Assessment Required Before Closure

Clients currently receiving home help services must be assessed at the next face-to-face contact in the client's home to determine continued eligibility. If the adult services specialist has a face-to-face contact in the client's home prior to the next scheduled review/redetermination, an assessment of need must take place at that time.

Example: A face-to-face review was completed in August 2011; the next scheduled review will be in February 2012. The specialist meets with the client in his/her home for a provider interview in December 2011. Previous assessments indicate the client only needing assistance with instrumental activities of daily living (IADL). A new comprehensive assessment must be completed on this client.

If the assessment determines a need for an ADL at level 3 or greater but these services are **not** paid for by the department,

Docket No. 2013-4570 HHS Hearing Decision & Order

or the client refuses to receive assistance, the client would **continue** to be eligible to receive IADL services.

If the client is receiving only IADLs and does **not** require assistance with at least one ADL, the client no longer meets eligibility for home help services and the case must close after negative action notice is provided.

Each month, beginning with October, 2011, clients with reviews due who only receive IADL services must take priority.

Negative Action Notice

The adult services specialist must provide a DHS-1212, Advance Negative Action notice, if the assessment determines the client is no longer eligible to receive home help services. The effective date of the negative action is ten business days after the date the notice is mailed to the client.

Right to Appeal

Clients have the right to request a hearing if they disagree with the assessment. If the client requests a hearing within ten business days, do not proceed with the negative action until after the result of the hearing.

Explain to the client that if the department is upheld, recoupment must take place back to the negative action date if payments continue. Provide the client with an option of continuing payment or suspending payment until after the hearing decision is rendered.

If the client requests a hearing after the 10-day notice and case closure has occurred, do not reopen the case pending the hearing decision. If the department's action is reversed, the case will need to be reopened and payment re-established back to the effective date of the negative action. If the department's action is upheld, no further action is required.

Adult Service Bulletin (ASB) 2011-001; Interim Policy Bulletin Independent Living Services (ILS) Eligibility Criteria, pp. 1–3, October 1, 2011

Docket No. 2013-4570 HHS Hearing Decision & Order

The Department witness testified that on in-home assessment she observed the Appellant had no need for ADL assistance – although he might have needed assistance with IADLs on some occasions. She explained policy developments and advised the Appellant that he would be terminated from Home Help Services for failing to meet the threshold requirement of demonstrating need with hands-on assistance for ADLs at a ranking of 3 or greater.

The Appellant explained that the ASW mistook his "positive attitude" as signaling that he was "cured" and needed no assistance. He repeated his need for assistance with IADLs and transferring – although he provided no evidence that he met the threshold requirement of a ranking of three (3) or greater with the ADL of transferring.

At hearing, the Appellant offered his exhibits [proposed 2 and 3] which were admitted without objection and received by facsimile post hearing. While not persuasive on the issue of continued ADL eligibility – the documents do suggest an exacerbating event post assessment which was not addressed by the Appellant at hearing.¹

At the time of assessment, the Appellant failed to demonstrate a need for home help services by demonstrating a need for any activity of daily living (ADL) with a ranking of three (3) or greater. Irrespective of the Appellant's reported need for IADLs [laundry, meal preparation, shopping, etc.] the ALJ has no authority to award HHS benefits unless the threshold ADL requirement is met. In this case it was not met and at hearing the Appellant failed to preponderate his burden of proof.

On review, it is the province of the ASW to determine continued eligibility for services; the ASM requires an in-home, comprehensive assessment of HHS recipients. Based on new policy an HHS recipient must utilize at least one (1) ADL requiring hands-on service at the three (3) ranking or higher in order to remain eligible for HHS. The Appellant failed to demonstrate such need.

Since the Appellant has now exhausted his available services under the HHS program it is incumbent on the ASW to advocate for the Appellant in receiving the CMH supports referenced in his petition. [ASM 125, Coordination with Other Services, pp. 1, 2 of 10, November 1, 2011]

The Appellant failed to preponderate his burden of proof that the Department erred in terminating his HHS, because at the time of assessment he demonstrated no inability to perform his ADLs.

_

¹ A change in condition should be brought to the attention of the ASW. for possible reassessment.

Docket No. 2013-4570 HHS Hearing Decision & Order

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department properly terminated the Appellant's HHS.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

\s\

Dale Malewska
Administrative Law Judge
for James K. Haveman, Director
Michigan Department of Community Health



Date Mailed: <u>1/7/2013</u>

*** NOTICE ***

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.