## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN AD HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MAT	ITER OF:			
		Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-44503 3008 Wayne DHS	
ADMINISTR	ATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scull	y		
	HEARING DE	CISION		
and MCL 4 telephone he behalf of Cla	is before the undersigned Administration of Human Services (Department).	est for a hearing from Lansing, Mi ment) included		
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close Claimant's case $\square$ reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li></ul>		☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?		
	FINDINGS O	F FACT		
	strative Law Judge, based upon the whole record, including testime	•	,	
1.	Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was reCDC.	eceiving:	⊠FAP □MA □SDA □	
2.	Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not p (DHS-4835).	provided with a I	New Hire Client Notice	

	3.	Claimant was required to submit requested verification by April 11, 2013.		
	4.	On the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.		
	5.	On, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application closure of Claimant's case reduction of Claimant's benefits.		
	6.	On Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial.  \( \subseteq \text{closure.} \subseteq \text{reduction.}		
		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).				
	Perso Law 7 Family and 1	Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the nal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the y Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., 999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to ndent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.		
$\boxtimes$	progra impler Feder Indep	Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of al Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family endence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.		
	Social Regul Indep	Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal ations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family endence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et and MCL 400.105.		
	assist (forme progra	State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial ance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department erly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA am pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through 400.3180.		

The Claimant argued that she supplied the Department with the information necessary to determine her eligibility to continue to receive benefits. Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Claimant failed to establish that she provided the Department with verification of all current income of all household members or ending income of all household members. The Claimant failed to establish that she reported any changes to her household composition in a timely manner.

The Claimant indicated on her request for a hearing that she disputed closure of Medical Assistance (MA) benefits. The Claimant failed to establish a closure or reduction of MA benefits for active members of her household.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly
<ul><li></li></ul>
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\square$ did act properly. $\square$ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\boxtimes$ <b>AFFIRMED</b> $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Directo Department of Human Services
Date Signed:
Date Mailed:
NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing o

reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## KS/kl

CC:

