STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Issue

Reg. No: 2013-44036 Case No:

No: 1038

Date: May 30, 2013

County: Genesee-02

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing received on April 22, 2013. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 30, 2013. Claimant, accompanied by a friend and her parents, personally appeared and provided testimony. Success Coach appeared on behalf of the department.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) and decrease Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant was receiving FIP and FAP benefits.
- 2. On Decem ber 13, 2012, Claim ant's treating phys ician completed a medical examination of Claimant and submitted a Medical Needs-Jet form to DHS. Claimant was diagnosed with back pain, left hip pain, anemia, hypertension, and obesity. The physic ian indicated Claiman t was unable to work at her usual occupation or at any job. The physic cian also indicated Claimant required assistance in the hom e with housework, laundry and shopping. (Dept Ex. A, pp 10-11).
- On February 14, 2013, an MRT assessm ent for JET participation project was completed for Claimant indicating that Claimant was work ready with limitat ions, never lifting 50 pounds, able to sit/stand 6 hours in an 8-hour work day. MRT denied Claimant's request for a deferral from JET. (Dept Ex. A, pp 5-7).

- 4. On April 10, 2013, the Department sent Claimant notice of the closure. Effective May 1, 2013, the Department closed Claim ant's FIP case due to Claimant failing to attend the scheduled PATH appointment on 3/25/13. FAP was decreased based on a member of the FAP group failing to par ticipate in an employ ment related activity.
- 5. On April 22, 2013, Claimant filed a hear ing request, protesting the closure of the FIP case and decreased in FAP benefits.
- 6. On April 17, 2013, a triage was held. Claimant had telephoned three times regarding a telephone triage. Claimant did not attend the triage.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

DHS requires clients to participat e in employment and self-sufficiency related activities and to acc ept employment when offered. The focus is to assist clients in removing barriers so they can participate in activities which le ad to self-sufficiency. However, there are consequences for a client who refuses to participate, without good cause. The goal of the FIP penalty policy is to obtain client compliance with appropriate work and/or self-sufficiency related assignments and to ensure that barriers to such compliance have been identified and removed. The goal is to bring the client into compliance. BEM 233A.

A Work Eligible Indiv idual (WEI) and non-WEI s (except ineligible grantees, client s deferred for lack of child care, and disqualified aliens), see BEM 228, who fails, without good cause, to participate in employment or se If-sufficiency-related activities, must be penalized. Depending on the case situation, penalties include the following:

- •Delay in eligibility at application.
- •Ineligibility (denial or termination of FIP with no minimum penalty period).
- •Case closure for a minimum of thr ee months for the first episode of noncompliance, six m onths for the seco nd episode of noncompliance and lifetime closure for the third episode of noncompliance.

Good cause is a v alid reas on for noncom pliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A c laim of good c ause must be ve rified and documented for member adds and recipients. Document t he good cause determination in Bridges and the FSSP under the Participation and Compliance tab. BEM 233A.

If it is determined during triage the client has good cause, and good cause issues have been resolved, the client is sent back to PATH and there is no need for a new PATH referral. BEM 233A.

Good cause includes the client is physically or mentally unfit for the job or activity, as shown by medical ev idence or other reliable information. This includes any disability related limitations that preclude participation in a wor k and/or self-sufficiency-related activity. The disability-related needs or limitations may not have been id entified or assessed prior to the noncom pliance. Good cause also includes the client has a debilitating illness or injury, or a spouse or child's illness or injury requires in-home care by the client. BEM 233A.

In this cas e, the triage was on 4/17/13. At the time of triage, the department had received the Medical Needs for m and Medical Evaluation form from Claimant's treating physician. The Medical Needs form clearly indicated Claimant was unable to work at her usual occupation or any job. Because Claimant's treating physician's opinion is well supported by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnost ic techniques, it has controlling weight. 20 CFR 404.1527(d)(2).

Moreover, there is a discr epancy in documentation submitted by the department that was not addressed during the hearing. The case notes on page 31 indicate Claimant did not respond to her notice of noncompliance and was so heduled for triage. Triage was on 4/17/13 and the notes indicate no call, no show. However, the hearing summary indicates that Claimant called three times requesting a telephone triage, which supported Claimant's testimony.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department did not ac t properly. Accordingly, the Department's FIP and FAP decision is **REVERSED** for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

Determine eligibility in accord with this decision and award any retroactive FIP benefits in addition to reinstating the FIP program and original FAP benefits before the closure.

Vicki L. Armstrong Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Vicli 2.

Date Signed: June 3, 2013

Date Mailed: June 3, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical erro r, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

2013-44036/VLA

VLA/las

