STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg No: 2013-43937 Issue No: 1005

Kalamazoo County DHS (00)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on the second s

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's FIP benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FIP benefits. Household member received a 90 day medical deferral from participating with PATH.
- (2) Following expiration of deferral on , was referred back to JET orientation scheduled for .
- (3) Claimant testified and presented a letter from her landlord that there was an issue with mail delivery to Claimant's apartment.
- (4) Notice of noncompliance was sent to Claimant on with notice of an triage meeting.

- (5) At the triage meeting Claimant was found to not have good cause and her case was processed for closure.
- (6) Claimant requested a hearing on contesting the closure of FIP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependant Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference manual (PRM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) provides temporary cash assistance to support a family's movement to self-sufficiency. The recipients of FIP engage in employment and self-sufficiency-related activities so they can become self-supporting. Federal and State laws require each work eligible individual (WEI) in the FIP group to participate in the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment-related activities unless temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. These clients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities to increase their employability and obtain stable employment. BEM 230A.

JET is a program administered by the Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth (DLEG) through the Michigan Works Agencies (MWAs). The JET program serves employers and job seekers for employers to have skilled workers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. BEM 230 A. A mandatory participant in the JET program who fails without good cause to participate in employment activity must be penalized. BEM 233(a). The penalty for the first occurrence of noncompliance in the JET program is a closure for a minimum of three calendar months under the FIP program. BEM 233(a). Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment related activities. A claim of good cause must be verified and documented for applicants, members, and recipients. BEM Manual Item 230(a), BEM Manual Item 230(b); 7 CFR Parts 272 and 273.

In the present case, Claimant presented a letter from her landlord and credibly testified that she did not receive the PATH appointment notice sent on **Sector** with an orientation appointment. This Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant had good cause for failing to appear at PATH orientation at the assigned time because the appointment mailing was never received. Therefore it was improper and incorrect for the Department to close Claimant's FIP benefits. BEM 233A

DECISION AND O RDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Department was incorrect in the closure of Claimant's FIP benefits and it is **ORDERED** that the Department's decision in this regard be and is hereby **REVERS D**. Claimant's FIP benefits shall be reinstated going back to the closure and the negative action shall be deleted. Household nember **EVERS Shall be** referred back to PATH.

Am milet

Aaron McClintic Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Deportment of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>06/03/2013</u>

Date Mailed: 06/03/2013

NOTIC : Michigan Administrative Hearing Syste n (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request o a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. *I*AHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original reques. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for r shearing was made, within 30 days of the rec sipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claima it may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A relearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that sould affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A re onsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or la / in the he ring decision,
- typo raphical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the f silure of the ALJ to address othe relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

