

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**



Reg. No.: 201343621  
Issue No.: 3008  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: May 30, 2013  
County: Wayne County (#35)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHELLE HOWIE**

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted on Thursday, May 30, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. The Claimant appeared and testified. Participant on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) was [REDACTED] (Eligibility Specialist).

**ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly closed the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an ongoing FAP recipient with a group size of 3.
2. On March 12, 2013 the Department sent Claimant three separate wage match client notices requesting verification of income for herself and her son due by April 11, 2013. (Exhibit 2-4)
3. The Claimant submitted the requested verification for herself but no for her son.
4. On April 19, 2013, the Department sent Notice of Case Action notifying Claimant that her FAP case would close effective May 1, 2013 for failure to return requested verifications.

5. On April 29, 2013, the Department received Claimant's written hearing request disputing the action.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Department of Human Services (DHS) policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.

The Department routinely matches recipient data with computer data exchanges that assist in the identification of potential current and past employment income. When there is a discrepancy between the wage match information and the client's work history stated on an application or other information in the client's case record, the Department must request verification from the client by sending a Wage Match Client Notice (DHS-4638). BAM 802 (December 1, 2011), p 2. If verifications are not returned by the 30th day, the case will close for a minimum of 30 days after appropriate actions are taken in the Department's system unless the client returns verifications. BAM 802, p 2. If the household fails to provide the requested verification, the Department may use the information shown on the wage match report to calculate an over issuance amount.

In this case, Claimant acknowledged that she received the Wage Match Client Notice requesting verification of employment for her son by April 11, 2013. She testified that she gave the form to her son to give to his employer to have completed. The form was not returned. Claimant further testified that she notified the Department that her son moved out of the home in February 2013 before her case transferred to the new district office. Claimant was advised by the Department that the wage match pertained to past income while the son was a member of the FAP group. Because the Department did not receive the requested information, the Department acted in accordance with policy when it closed Claimant's FAP case for failure to provide verification. Claimant may reapply for FAP benefits at any time after the case has been closed for a minimum of 30 days.

Accordingly, the Department action is upheld.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly when closed Claimant's FAP case effective May 1, 2013.

Accordingly, the Department's FAP determination is hereby, **AFFIRMED**.

*M. Howie*

**Michelle Howie**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 6/6/2013

Date Mailed: 6/6/2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at  
Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MH/hw

201343621/MH

cc:

