## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

INI	THE	: M A	TTE	$P \cap$	<b>F</b> ∙
114	1 1710	. IVI <i>P</i>	\ I   I   E	n u	Г.

	Reg. No.: Issue Nos.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-43307 1000, 3000, 6000 May 23, 2013 Wayne (31)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Levente	er	
SETTLEMENT	ORDER	
This matter is before the undersigned Administ and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requetelephone hearing was held on May 23, 2013 behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Pa Human Services (Department) included Specialist and Francisco Francisc	est for a hearing. , from Detroit, Michigorticipants on behalf of the company of	After due notice, a gan. Participants on
Whether the Department properly:		
<ul><li>☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits</li><li>X closed Claimant's case for benefits</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li></ul>		
for:		
X Family Independence Program (FIP)? X Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)? Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	☐ State Disability A X Child Developme ☐ State Emergency	

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On April 7, 2013, the Department closed Claimant's case for CDC benefits.

2.	On May 1, 2013, the Department:
	<ul><li>☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits</li><li>X closed Claimant's case for benefits</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li></ul>
	under the following program(s):
	X FIP X FAP MA AMP SDA CDC SER.
3.	On April 4, 2013, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:
	☐ denial X closures. ☐ reduction.
4.	On April 16, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.
	CONCLUCIONS OF LAW

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

X The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

X The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

X The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reinstate Claimant's FIP, FAP and CDC benefits, provide her with a new Verification Checklist, and provide benefits.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITHIN TEN DAYS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's FIP, FAP and CDC benefits.
- 2. Issue to Claimant a new Verification Checklist and a Child Development and Care Provider Verification form.
- 3. Provide retroactive and ongoing benefits to Claimant at the benefit levels to which she is entitled.
- 4. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 28, 2013

Date Mailed: May 29, 2013

<u>NOTICE</u>: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or

reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JL/tm



