STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

н	 		IA'		.,	

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-43152 2001, 3002 Macomb DHS			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully					
HEARING DECIS	ION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on from the property of the pro					
<u>ISSUE</u>					
Due to excess income, did the Department proper	ly 🔲 deny the C	laimant's application			

ISSU	<u>JE</u>
Due to excess income, did the Department p ☐ close Claimant's case ☒ reduce Claimant	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?
Due to excess income, did the Department p ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	 ✓ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

	aimant	applied for benefits for:	✓ received benefits for:
	Food As	ndependence Program (FIP). ssistance Program (FAP). Assistance (MA).	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).
2. C	aimant	□ applied for benefits for: □	received benefits for:
	Food As	ndependence Program (FIP). ssistance Program (FAP). Assistance (MA).	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).
3.		ce Program (FAP) allotment a	reduced the Claimant's monthly Food and notified him that he is not eligible for am (AMP) due to excess income.
4.			sent the Claimant notice of the denial of and the reduction of his Food Assistance
5. O	n	, the Department receive	ed the Claimant's hearing request.
		CONCLUSION	IS OF LAW
	•		ridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the
· Bridg —	es Eligibili he Adult	olicies are contained in the Bity Manual (BEM), and the Refe	eridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the erence Tables Manual (RFT). established by 42 USC 1315, and is
· Bridg —	es Eligibili he Adult administ The Fai Persona Law 104 Family Ii and 199	Medical Program (AMP) is ered by the Department pursuantly Independence Program I Responsibility and Work Oppartment et al., and the Reference Program I Responsibility and Work Oppartment et al., and ependence Agency) administrations.	eridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the erence Tables Manual (RFT). established by 42 USC 1315, and is ant to MCL 400.10, et seq. (FIP) was established pursuant to the cortunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public The Department (formerly known as the sters FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department \square properly \square improperly
☑ denied Adult Medical Program (AMP) benefits.☑ reduced Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \boxtimes AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
/S/ Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services Date Signed: 05/30/2013

Date Mailed: <u>05/30/2013</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,

- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/kl

