# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-4310

Issue No.: <u>2009</u>

Case No.: Hearing Date:

February 26, 2013

County: Oakland-03

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admi nistrative Law Ju dge upon Claimant's request for a hearing made pursuant to Mi chigan Compiled Laws 400.9 and 400.37, which gov ern the administrative hearing a nd appeal process. After due notice, a telephone hearing was commenced on February 26, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Claimant personally appeared and testified. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist

## **ISSUE**

Whether the Department of Human Serv ices (the department) properly denied Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA) and Retro-MA?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On August 21, 2012, Claimant fil ed an application for MA/R etro-MA benefits alleging disability.
- (2) On September 24, 2012, the M edical Review T eam (MR T) denied Claimant's application for MA-P/Ret ro-MA indicating Claim ant was capable of performing other work. (Dept Ex. A, pp 5-6).
- (3) On October 1, 2012, the department ca seworker sent Claimant notice that her application was denied.
- (4) On October 12, 2012, Claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.

- (5) On November 20, 2012, the Stat e Hearing Review Team (SHRT) found Claimant was not disabled because th e medica I evidenc e of record indicates that Claimant retains the capacit y to perform a wide range of simple, unskilled, medium work avoi ding constant fingering and handling. (Depart Ex. B, pp 1-2).
- (6) Claimant has a history of major depression, anxiety, insomnia, migraines, pain in her hands and wrists, histor y of breast and cervical cancer, bilateral c arpal tunnel syndrome, and benign essential tremor of the hands.
- (7) On July 18, 2012, Claimant participated in a psychiatric evaluation through Community Network. She complained of feeling deprocessed, anxious, experiencing crying sopells and difficuolity with sleep. She denied past psychiatric hospitalizations or consultation, however she stated that she received therapy on a few occasions on and off. She was receiving antidepressant medications Zoloft, Wellbutrin, and Pristiq from her primary care physician. Diagnosis: Axisolic Major depressive disorder, recurrent; Axisolic Major depressive disorder, recurrent; Axisolic Major depressive disorder, recurrent; Axisolic Major depressive disorder.
- On October 16, 2012, Claimant underwent a medical evaluation on behalf (8) of the Cla imant's chief complaint was pain and numbness of both hands, a tremor, and depre ssion. Claiman t stated that she has had pain, numbness and swelling in both hands for the past 5 years. Her physician adv ised her to wear a brace, but it did not help. She has difficult y eating, combing, writing, and typing. The pain of her hands wakes her up every hour or half hour. She has had a t remor of the hands, head and legs. The tremor became noticeable two years ago. She also complains of depr ession, anxiety, and ins omnia. The neurological examination showed a tremor of the hands. She was diagnosed with bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome, benign essential tremor of the hands, anxiety and depression, an d status post lumpectomy for cancer of the breast. (Dept Ex. B pp 4-11).
- (9) Claimant is a 46 year old woman whos e birthday is Claimant is 4'10" tall and weighs 110 lbs. Claim ant completed the ninth grade.
- (10) Claimant was appealing the denial of Social Security disability benefits at the time of the hearing.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program

pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (RFT).

In order to receive MA benefits based upon disability or blindness, claimant must be disabled or blind as defined in T itle XVI of the Social Security Act (20 CFR 416.901). DHS, being authorized to make such disability determinations, utilizes the SSI definition of disability when making medical decisions on MA applications. MA-P (disability), also is known as Medicaid, which is a program designated to help public assistance claimants pay their medical expenses. Mi chigan administers the federal Medicaid program. In assessing eligibility, Michigan utilizes the federal regulations.

Relevant federal guidelines provide in pertinent part:

## "Disability" is:

... the inability to do any subs tantial gainful activity by reason of any medically dete rminable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905.

The federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in shequential order:

... We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further. 20 CF R 416.920.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

- 1. If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not dis abled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.
- Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in deat h? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).

- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equiv alent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed im pairment that meets the duration requirement? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analys is continues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?
- 5. Does the client hav e the Residual Func tional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step consider s the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience to see if the client can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is a pproved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

At application Claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to:

... You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an im pairment(s) and how seve re it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required by claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essent ially require laboratory or clinical medical re-ports that corroborate claimant's claims or claimant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as sure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of di sease or injury based on its signs and symptoms). 20 CFR 416.913(b).

Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not al one establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment. 20 CFR 416.929(a). The medical evidence must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Sy mptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Y our statements alone are not enough to establish t hat there is a physic al or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinic al diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicates pecific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientat ion, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) **Laboratory findings** are anatomical, phy siological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically accept—able laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic—techniques include chemical tes—ts, el ectrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X -rays), and psychologic al tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capac ity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e). You can only be found dis abled if you

are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which are demons trable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

Applying the sequential analys is herein, Claimant is not ine ligible at the first step as Claimant is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). This second step is a *de min imus* standard. Ruling a ny ambiguities in Claimant's favor, this Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) finds that Claimant meets both. The analysis continues.

The third step of the analysis looks at whether an individual meets or equals one of the Listings of Impairments. 20 CFR 416.920(d). Claimant does not. The analys is continues.

The fourth step of the analysis looks at the ability of the applicant to return to past relevant work. This step examines the physical and mental demands of the work done by Claimant in the past. 20 CFR 416.920(f). In this case, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant cannot retuen to past relevant work on the basis of the medical evidence. The analysis continues.

The fifth and final step of the analysis applie s the biographical data of the applic ant to the Medical Vocational Grids to determine the residual functional capacity of the applicant to do other work. 20 CFR 416.920(g). After a careful review of the credible and substantial evidence on the whole rec ord, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant c ould not do a full range of even sedentary wo rk pursuant to Medical Vocational Grid Rule Footnote 201.00(h) due to multiple impairments.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides the department erred in determining Claimant is not currently disabled for MA/Retro-MA eligibility purposes.

Accordingly, the department's decision is **REVERSED**, and it is ORDERED that:

The department shall process Claimant's August 21, 2012, MA/Retro-MA
application, and s hall award her all the benefits she may be enetitled to
receive, as long as she meets the remaining financial and non-financial
eligibility factors.

- 2. The department shall rev iew Claimant's medica I cond ition for improvement in March, 2014, unless her Social Sec urity Administration disability status is fully approved by that time.
- 3. The department shall obtain updated medical evidence from Claimant's treating physicians, physical therapists, pain clinic notes, etc. regarding her continued treatment, progress and prognosis at review.

It is SO ORDERED.

/s/
Vicki L. Armstrong
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 13, 2013

Date Mailed: March 13, 2013

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request

# 2013-4310/VLA

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## VLA/las

