# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-42350

Issue No.: 2018

Case No.: Hearing Date: May 21, 2013

County: Macomb-20 County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Ma y 21, 2013, from Lansing, Mi chigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

# ISSUE

Did the Department properly close a Medical Assistance (MA) case belonging to the Claimant?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. At some point in time, the Claimant was approved for MA benefits.
- 2. On or around March 31, 2013, the Department closed the Claimant's MA case.
- 3. At no point in time did the Department send the Claimant a notice of case action regarding the MA closure.
- 4. On April 19, 2013, the Claimant requested a hearing regarding the closure of his MA case.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The MA program is established by the Titl e XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of

Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The Department witness testified that the Claimant indeed had an open MA case but could not locate records to indicate when or why the Claimant's MA case closed prior to the December 2012 review date.

The Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600, p. 4, provides in relevant part as follows:

The client or authorized he aring representative has 90 calendar days from the date of the written notice of case action to request a hearing. The request must be received anywhere in DHS within the 90 days.

The Department witness in this case could not ident ify the specific MA program the Claimant was alleged to have been enrolled in and could not specifically verify the reason for the March, 2013 closure. Therefore, I am unable to determine whether or not the Department's actions in this matter were in conformity with the applicable laws and policies.

Consequently, based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conc lusions of Law, I have concluded the Department may have improperly closed the Claimant's MA case.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

I find, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law the Department did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's MA decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate a redetermination as to the Claimant's eligibility for MA benefits beginning March 1, 2013 and issue retroactive benefits if otherwise eligible and qualified.

Corey A. Arendt
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 22, 2013

Date Mailed: May 22, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### CAA/las

CC:

