## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

	 _	МΛ	Λ-	гт	_	_	$\overline{}$	_	
ш	 п	IVI	Α			•	u	_	Ξ

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-42252 3052 Genesee DHS (06)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully		
HEARING DEC	<u>ISION</u>	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on behalf of Claimant included .	fo <u>r a hea</u> ring.	
ISSUE		
Did Claimant receive an overissuance of progentitled to recoup?	ram benefits that	the Department is
FINDINGS OF I	FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fa	-	rial, and substantial
1. During the period of benefits for:		, Claimant received
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability A	Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).
2. The Department determined that Claimant recommend the Claimant recommend that Claimant recommend the CDC overishing the period of the CDC overishing the CDC over		ount of during
3. During the period of benefits for:		, Claimant received

	<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li> </ul> State Disability Assistance (SDA). Child Development and Care (CDC).
4.	The Department determined that Claimant received a  ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SDA ☒ CDC overissuance in the amount of \$ during the period of , through .
5.	The overissuance was due to ☐ Department error. ☐ client error.
6.	On the Department sent notice of the overissuance and a repayment agreement to Claimant.
7.	On Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's recoupment action.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and

Additionally, the Claimant argued that she reported her income to the Department in a timely manner.

However, the Department failed to process the Claimant's income properly causing her to receive benefits that she was not entitled to. The Department established that the Claimant received an overissuance of Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits that it is now entitled to recoup.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that Claimant

DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department   ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\boxtimes \mathbf{AFFIRMED} \ \square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Date Signed: <u>05/30/2013</u>

Date Mailed: <u>05/30/2013</u>

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,

- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## KS/kl

