STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	J TH		BA A	\ T	CCI	0	^		
III		16	IVI	۱ı		К (u	-	Ī

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-4210 1017 March 21, 2013 Wayne (82-76)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris					
HEARING DECI	SION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 21, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included					
ISSUE					
Due to excess income, did the Department properly ⊠ deny the Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits for:					
□ Family Independence Program (FIP)? □ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? □ Medical Assistance (MA)? □	•	sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF F	ACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:					
1. Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits for: ☐ re	ceived benefits fo	r:			
Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).			

2.	On March 16, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.					
3.	On July 21, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. reduction.					
4.	On October 1, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the					
	denial of the application.					
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).						
ad	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.					
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.					
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.					
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.					
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.					
	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of					

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, in this case, the budget completed by the Department to determine Claimant's FIP eligibility was reviewed to determine if the earned income calculation was correct. Claimant did not dispute that she received earnings from the State of Michigan of \$369 per month as a home care provider and also did not dispute the earnings shown on the Work Number which were used by the Department to establish Claimant's earned income from Exhibit 1. A calculation of May 2012 income was made during the hearing and it was determined that the Department
used the wrong income amount in the budget (\$821); this number, however, was lower than the May 2012 earned income which was calculated to be \$879. The May earned income was actually \$879 and, thus, using either number, the lower number the Department used, or the higher number when May 2012 weekly pay was used, both result in the Claimant's earned income being higher than the Payment Standard of \$403. Exhibit 2.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
☑ denied Claimant's application☐ reduced Claimant's benefits☐ closed Claimant's case
for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.
<u>DECISION AND ORDER</u>
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 26, 2013

Date Mailed: March 27, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/pf

