#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No:	<u>2013-4124</u> 0
	Issue No:	1038
Hearing	Date:	June 13, 2013
-	County:	Macomb-12

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's reques t for a hearing rec eived on April 15, 2013. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 13, 2013. Claimant personally appeared and provided testim ony. Family Independence Specialist

#### ISSUE

Whether the department properly clos ed Cla imant's Family Independence Program (FIP) application?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, bas ed upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On March 18, 2013, Claimant was mailed a PATH Appointm ent Notice, informing Claimant that s he had an appointment at 9AM on March 25, 2013. The Notice adv ised that FIP applic ants who do not attend the work participation prior to case opening will be denied FIP benefits. (Ex 19).
- 3. On April 6, 2013, the department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action closing her FIP benefits effect ive May 1, 201 3, for failing to participate in employment activities. (Ex 20-22).
- 4. Claimant submitted a hearing re quest on April 15, 2013, protesting the closure of her FIP benefits. (Request for a Hearing).

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations gover ning the hearing and appeal pr ocess for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, Rule s 400.901-400.951. An opport unity for a hearing shall be granted to an applic ant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. Mich Admin Code, Rule 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a department decis ion affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is inco rrect. The dep artment will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness. BAM 600.

The Family Independence Program (FIP ) was establis hed pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. T he FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective Oct ober 1, 1996. Department polic ies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (R FT), and the Reference Tables Manual I (RFT).

Department policy states that Clients must be made aware that public assistance is limited to 48 months to meet their fa mily's needs and they must take person al responsibility to achieve self-sufficiency. This message, al ong with information on ways to achieve independence, direct support services, non-complianc e penalties, and good cause reasons, is initially shared by DHS when the clien t applies for cash ass istance. The work participation progr am requirements, education and training opportunities, and

assessments will be covered by the work participation pro gram when a mandatory work participation program participant is referred at application. BEM 229.

k eligible individual (WEI) in the FIP Federal and state laws require each wor group to participate in Partnership Account ability Training Hope (PATH) or other employment-related activity unless tempor arily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation r equirements. These clie nts must participat e in employment and/or self-sufficiency rela ted activ ities to increase their employability and obtain employ ment. PA TH is administered by the Workforce Development Agency. State of Michigan through the Michigan one-stop s ervice centers. PATH s erves employers and job seekers for employers to have s killed workers and job seekers to obtain jobs t hat provide economic self-sufficiency. PATH c ase managers use the One-St op Management Information Sy stem (OSMIS) to record the clients' as signed activities and participation. BEM 230A (1/1/13).

A Work Eligible Individual (WEI) and non-WE Is who fails, without good caus e, to participate in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, must be penalized. Depending on the case situation, penalties include the following:

Delay in eligibility at application.
Ineligibility (denial or termination of FIP with no minimum penalty period).
Case clos ure for a minimum of thr ee months for the first episode o f noncompliance, six months for the second epis ode of noncompliance and lifetime closure for the third epis ode of noncomplia nce. BEM 233A (1/1/13).

Noncompliance of applicants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

• Failing or refusing to:

•• Appear and participate with PATH or other employment service provider.

•• Appear for a scheduled appointm ent or meeting related to assigned activities. BEM 233A (1/1/13).

Good cause is a valid reason for noncom pliance with employment and/or selfsufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. BEM 233A (1/1/13).

In this case, Claimant was mailed a PATH Appointment Notice with an appointment date for March 25, 2013, at 9AM. Claimant did not attend. A Notice of Case Action was mailed to Claimant on 4/6/13. Claimant called the department on 4/11/13 and stated she could not participate in PATH due to her health issues. Claimant's FIP case was closed. On April 15, 2013, the department received a time ly hearing request and her FIP case was reopened pending this hearing.

Claimant testified that she had received the notice to attend PATH on 3/25/13. However, her medications do not allow her to remain awake. She stated she had mental health issues and did not feel like she would be able to function if she did attend. When asked if she had taken less medication on the day of PATH would she have been able to attend, she stated, "I believe so."

The Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant did not attend, or attempt to attend, the mandatory PAT H orientation. Therefore, based on the material and substantial evidenc e presented during the hearing, the department properly closed Cla imant's FIP applic ation for nonc ompliance for failing to attend the mandatory PATH orientation.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department properly denied Claimant's FIP application. Accordingly, the department's decision is **UPHELD**.

It is SO ORDERED.

Juli Z.

Vicki L. Armstrong Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 14, 2013

Date Mailed: June 14, 2013

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 day s of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Admi nistrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decisi on and Order or, if a time ly request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is ne wly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to addres s other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the loc al DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

# 2013-41240/VLA

VLA/las

