STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

п	1 TI		BA A	T-	ΓFR		┏.
П	u II	HE	IVI	۱ı	ırk	U	-

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	20134083 3008 November 15, 2012 Wayne (17)				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elk	in					
HEARING DE	CISION					
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 15, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Independent Manager, and Religibility Specialist.						
ISSUE	<u> </u>					
Did the Department properly \square deny Claimar for:	nt's application 🛚 cl	ose Claimant's case				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Direct Support Services (DSS)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material		rial, and substantial				
 Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☐ received ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐ Direct Support Services (DSS). 	Adult Medical As	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).				

denied d	nber 30, 2012, the Department Claimant's application
	er 18, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the of the application. classification in the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Administrative	policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual epartment of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Responsibility 42 USC 601, Agency) adm 400.3101 thro	Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence inisters FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, Rough R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) etive October 1, 1996.
program] is implemented Regulations (Agency) adm	Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence inisters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, Rough R 400.3015.
Security Act a The Departm	cal Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), ent of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence inisters the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL
	It Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
for disabled Services (forr	Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human merly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA uant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151 through
	Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE e Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.				
☐ Direct Support Services (DSS) is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.57a, et. seq., and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.				
Additionally, in connection with Claimant's September 10, 2012 FAP redetermination, the Department sent Claimant a Quick Note on September 12, 2012, requesting that he provide a copy of his bank statement and missing paystubs for August 2012 by September 21, 2012.				
On September 18, 2012, the Department received Claimant's bank statement for August 2012. At the hearing, Claimant testified that he also submitted a copy of two of his weekly paystubs in the same envelope as the bank statement, and that he had submitted two other paystubs with his redetermination. The Department credibly testified that the only item received from Claimant on September 18, 2012 was Claimant's bank statement. The Department testified that the practice for workers in its mailroom was to date-stamp documents received and staple together any items received in the same envelope from a client, along with the envelope. The Department also testified that it received paystubs from Claimant on October 15, 2012, but Claimant's FAP case had already closed as of October 1, 2012, before the documents were received. Under the facts in this case, the Department established that it did not receive the requested paystubs. Thus, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP case, effective October 1, 2012.				
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department				
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case 				
for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC DSS.				
DECISION AND ORDER				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.				

Accordingly, the Department's ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☒ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CI	
decision is AFFIRMED TREVERSED for the reasons stated on the reco	rd.

Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 11/21/2012

Date Mailed: <u>11/21/2012</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/hw

cc: