STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



verification not sent.

Reg. No.: 2013 40439

Issue No.: 3008

Case No.:
Hearing Date: May 8, 2013

May 8, 2013

County: Wayne County DHS (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 8, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Anthony Smith, FIS Jet worker.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Due to a failure to comply with the verification \square completely \boxtimes deny Claimant's application \square completely benefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS	OF FACT
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon evidence on the whole record, including testing	
 Claimant	□FIP ☑FAP □MA □SDA □CDC.

2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by no date because

	On 2/22/13, the Department including denied Claimant's application. including closed Claimant's case. including reduced Claimant's benefits.
	On 3/25/13, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
	On 3/27/13, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
prog imp Reg Age	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) gram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is demented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R0.3001-3015
che the also on 3 thes clos info	ditionally, the department verified through its case system that no verification ecklist was ever sent to the Claimant requesting proof of bank accounts. Additionally Claimant credibly testified that she never received such a document. The Claimant of credibly testified that she never received an interview call from her then caseworker 3/22/13 as scheduled by her caseworker through an email. Exhibit 4. Based upon see facts and documentary evidence it is determined that the Department improperly seed the Claimant's case for not participating in an interview and for failing to verify the second seco
stat	sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ted on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly \square improperly
$\overline{\boxtimes}$	closed Claimant's case. denied Claimant's application. reduced Claimant's benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT SHALL INITIATE WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER. THE FOLLOWING:

- The Department shall re register the Claimant's application dated 2/23/13 and determine the Claimant's eligibility for FAP benefits in accordance with Department policy.
- 2. The Department shall issue a FAP supplement to the Claimant for FAP benefits, if any, the Claimant was otherwise entitled to receive in accordance with Department policy.

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 14, 2013

Date Mailed: May 14, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

• A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.

2013-40439/LMF

- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/tm

