STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No: 2013-39881

Issue No: 3008

SHIRLEY HAYNES Case No: 102933076
PO BOX 946 Hearing Date: May 8, 2013
EASTPOINTE, MI 48021 Macomb-20 County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 8, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Shirley Haynes. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Sophelia Griffin.

ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the verification \square observed benefits for:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	State Disability Assistance (SDA)?Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was receiving FAP benefits.
- 2. On or around March 1, 2013, the Claimant submitted a FAP redetermination.
- 3. On March 1, 2013, the Department verbally told the Claimant to submit additional shelter verifications as the shelter verification submitted with the redetermination appeared to be altered.
- 4. On March 5, 2013, the Claimant submitted additional shelter information.

- 5. On March 15, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant a verification checklist. The checklist did not specifically state what was being requested. The verifications were due by March 25, 2013.
- 6. As of March 25, 2013, the Claimant had already submitted the first and last page of her lease agreement as well as payment receipts for the rental property.
- 7. On April 4, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant a notice of case action. The notice indicated the Claimant's FAP case was closing April 1, 2013 for failure to verify shelter expenses.
- 8. On April 9, 2013, the Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the FAP closure.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FAP [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes completion of necessary forms. Clients must completely and truthfully answer all questions on forms and in interviews.

The client might be unable to answer a question about himself or another person whose circumstances must be known. Allow the client at least 10 days (or other timeframe specified in policy) to obtain the needed information.

Testimony and other evidence must be weighed and considered according to its reasonableness.¹ Moreover, the weight and credibility of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine.² In evaluating the credibility and weight to be given the testimony of a witness, the fact-finder may consider the demeanor of the witness, the reasonableness of the witness's testimony, and the interest, if any, the witness may have in the outcome of the matter.³

¹ Gardiner v Courtright, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); Dep't of Community Health v Risch, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007).

² Dep't of Community Health, 274 Mich App at 372; People v Terry, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997).

³ People v Wade, 303 Mich 303 (1942), cert den, 318 US 783 (1943).

I have carefully considered and weighed the testimony and other evidence in the record and find the Department's verification checklist was not very clear and therefore find the Claimant was cooperative at all times regarding the submission of her shelter expense documentation. The verification does not request any documentation. And the fact the Department relies upon an alleged conversation where the Department specifically stated what types of documentation was being requested is unconvincing when those documents (proofs) could have been listed on the verification form.

Accordingly, I **REVERSE** the Department's actions in this matter.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Department did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate a redetermination of the Claimant's eligibility for FAP benefits beginning April 1, 2013 and issue any retroactive benefits if otherwise eligible and qualified.

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

f act

Date Signed: May 9, 2013

Date Mailed:

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/las

cc: SHIRLEY HAYNES

Macomb-20 County DHS

H. Norfleet

M. Holden

K. Mardyla-Goddard

C. A. Arendt

MAHS