# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

١	IN	J '	т	Н	F	N	ΙΔ	T	ΓΕ	R	0	F	•
ı	ı,					IV				$\mathbf{r}$	v		_

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-39255 3000 May 2, 2013 Wayne (19)						
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun								
SETTLEMENT ORDER								
This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a elephone hearing was held on Ma y 2, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Claimant and her nusband appeared and testified. Particip ants on behalf of the Department of Human Servic es (Departm ent) included Assistanc e Payment Worker, and Family Independence Manager.								
Whether the Department properly:								
□ denied Claimant's application for benefits     □ closed Claimant's case for benefits     □ reduced Claimant's benefits								
for:								
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	Child Developme	assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC) / Services (SER)?	?					

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On March 7, 2013, the Department
	<ul> <li>☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> </ul>
	under the following program(s):
	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.
2.	On March 11, 2013, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the
	<ul><li>☑ denial</li><li>☐ closure</li><li>☐ reduction.</li></ul>
3.	On April 5, 2013, Cla imant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department polic ies are foun d in the Department of Human Servic es Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.

The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, on March 7, 2013, Claimant submitted an applic ation for FAP benefits. The Department denied Claimant's application for FAP benefits and on March 11, 2013, sent Claim ant a Notice of Case Action informing her of that her application had been denied due to excess income. (Exhibit 1). Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. At the hearing, the Department was unable to establish which figures were used in calculating Claim ant's earned and unearned income and consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: (i) reregister the March 7, 2013 FAP application; (ii) begin reprocessing the application and re calculating the FAP budget from March 7, 2013 ongoing in accordance with Department policy; (iii) begin is suing supplements to

Claimant for any FAP benefits that she was eligible to receive but did not from March 7, 2013 ongoing in acc ordance with Department polic y; and (iv) notify Claimant of it s decision in writing in accordance with Department policy.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wish es to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnec essary for this Admi nistrative Law Judge to render a decis ior regarding the facts and issues in this case.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

#### THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. Reregister the March 7, 2013 FAP application;
- 2. Begin reprocessing the application and recalculating the FAP budget from March 7, 2013 ongoing in accordance with Department policy;
- 3. Begin issuing supplements to Claimant for any FAP benefits that she was eligible to receive but did not from March 7, 2013 on going in a ccordance with Department policy; and
- 4. Notify Claimant of its decision in writing in accordance with Department policy.

Zainab Baydoun

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 8, 2013

Date Mailed: May 8, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

### ZB/cl

