STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013 39180

Issue No.: 1038

Case No.:

County:

Hearing Date: May 2, 2013 Wayne (57)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 2, 2013, from Detroi t, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded the Claimant. Pa rticipants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included , Case Manager.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly:	
☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits	
for:	
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? 	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On April 1, 2013, the Department:	
	 ☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits 	
	under the following program(s):	
2.	On March 6, 2013, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:	
	☐ denial ☐ closure ☐ reduction.	
3.	On March 15, 2013, Claimant filed a r equest for hearing concerning the Department's action.	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).		
Respo 42 US Agend throug	e Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal possibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, and 6C 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ph Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program we October 1, 1996.	
	w pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or d settlement. MCL 24.278(2).	
In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: the Department agreed to reopen the Claimant's FIP case and process the case to determine eligibility, and if appropriate, the Claimant to attend the Path		

program. The Department further agreed to remove the 3 month sanction imposed for

non- participation with Work First participation requirements

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wish es to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnec essary for this Admi nistrative Law Judge to render a decis ion regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. The Department shall reopen the Claimant's FIP case re troactive to the date of closure and proces s the cas e to det ermine Claimant's el igibility an d if appropriate, refer Claimant to attend the Path program if Claimant is otherwise eligible to receive FIP benefits.
- 2. Issue a FIP supplement to the Claimant for FIP benefits, if any, Claimant was otherwise entitled to receive in accordance with Department policy.
- 3. Remove the 3-month sanction from the Claimant's case records and Department records that was imposed as result of a triage held on March 12, 2013.

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 9, 2013

Date Mailed: May 9, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LMF/cl

