## **STATE OF MICHIGAN** MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM **ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-38768 1080 June 19, 2013 Wayne (19)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer		
HEARING DECIS	<u>SION</u>	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on June 19, 2013, a behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participhuman Services (Department) included (Partnership.Accountability.Training.Hope) Case Independence Manager.	for a hearing. A at Inkster, Michigo pants on behalf o	After due notice, a an. Participants on
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Did the Department properly $\ \square$ deny Claimant's for:	application 🛚 clo	ose Claimant's case
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the cevidence on the whole record, finds as material fac		rial, and substantial
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ⊠ received be	nefits for:	
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>	•	sistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

<ol> <li>On April 1, 2013, the Department         ☐ denied Claimant's application</li></ol>		
<ol> <li>On March 22, 2013, the Department sent</li> <li>☐ Claimant</li> <li>☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)</li> <li>notice of the</li> <li>☐ denial.</li> <li>☐ closure.</li> </ol>		
<ol> <li>On March26, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the         ☐ denial of the application.        ☐ closure of the case.</li> </ol>		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
∑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, <i>et seq.</i> The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.		
Additionally, Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 234, "FIP Time Limits," sets a forty-eight month limit on receipt of state benefits, and a sixty-month limit on receipt of federal benefits. The counting methods for federal and state months are different as well, in that there are differences in the allowable deferral months, and, in the years that are included in the tallies. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 234 (2013).		
In this case the evidence shows that Claimant received forty-eight months of state benefits and ninety-six months of federal benefits. The Claimant presented no evidence to dispute the Department's FIP payment records. Dept. Exh. 2, 3.		
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department		
<ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ improperly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>		
for: AMP   FIP   FAP   MA   SDA   CDC.		

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\boxtimes$ did act properly. $\square$ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\boxtimes$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Jan Leventer  Administrative Law Judge  for Maura Corrigan, Director  Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 20, 2013
Date Mailed: June 24, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

cc: