STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2013-38669

 Issue Nos.:
 1005,1038

 Case No.:
 Hearing Date:

 Hearing Date:
 April 29, 2013

 County:
 Wayne (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's reques t for a hearin g. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Monday, April 29, 2013. Claimant appeared and test ified. Participants on behal f of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Exercise Construction** Family Independence Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case due to a failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities without good cause?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FIP benefits.
- 2. On January 4, 2013, the Department s ent Claimant a Noncompliance War ning Notice instructing her to attend a reengagement meeting on January 11, 2013 to discuss her noncompliance in a required activity. (Exhibit 2)

- 3. Claimant attended the r eengagement meeting and es tablished good cause for her noncompliance.
- Claimant signed a J ET Program Reenga gement Agreement stating that she would complete assigned activities and turn in required documentation. (Exhibit 3).
- Claimant did not comp ly with the terms of t he JET Program Reengagement Agreement and as a result, on March 20, 2013 the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Noncompliance in structing her to attend a triage appointment on March 28, 2013 to discuss whether good cause existed f or her noncompliance in submitting education logs. (Exhibit 5)
- 6. On March 20, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing her that the Department intended to terminate her FIP benefits effective May 1, 2013 for failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities without good cause. (Exhibit 6)
- 7. Claimant's FIP case closed effective Ma y 1, 2013 for failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-relat ed activities without good cause and a six month sanction was imposed.
- 8. On March 28, 2013, the Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing disputing the closure of her FIP case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Referenc e Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 t hrough R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

As a condition of FIP eligibi lity, all Work Eligible Indi viduals ("WEI") must engage in employment and/or s elf-sufficiency related activities. BEM 233A (January 2013), p. 1. The WEI can be considered n oncompliant for several reasons including: failing or refusing to appear and participate with t he work participation program or other employment service provider, failing or refusing to appear for a s cheduled appointment or meeting related to assigne d activities , and failing or refusing to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency- related activities. BEM 233A, pp 1, 2. Good cause is a valid reason for noncompl iance with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities t hat are based on fac tors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. BEM 233A, pp. 3, 4.

Good cause includes any of the following: the client is employed for 40 hours/week, the client is p hysically or mentally u nfit for the job, the client has a debilitating illness or injury or a spouse or child's illness or inju ry requires in-home care by the client, the contractor, agency or employer failed to Department, employment service provider, make a reasonab le accommodation for the clie nt's disab ility, no child care, no transportation, the employment involves il legal activities, the client experience s discrimination, an unplanned ev ent or factor likely prev enting or interfering with employment, long commute or eligibility for an extended FIP period. BEM 233A, p. 4. A WEI who fails, without good cause, to partici pate in employment or self-sufficiencyrelated activities, must be penalized. BEM 233A, p.1.

In processing a FIP c losure, the Department is requir ed to send the client a notice of noncompliance, which must in clude the date(s) of the noncompliance; the r eason the client was determined to be noncompliant; and the penalty duration. BEM 233A. p.8-9. Pursuant to BAM 220, a Notice of Case Ac tion must also be sent which provides the reason(s) for the action. BAM 220 (November 2012), p. 9. Work participation program participants will not be terminat ed from a work participat ion program without first scheduling a triage m eeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. BEM 233A, p. 7. A triage mu st be condu cted and good caus e must be considered even if the client does not attend. BEM 233A, pp.7-8 Clients must comply with triage requirements and prov ide good cause verification within the negative action period. BEM 233A, p. 7.

Good cause is based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. BEM 233A, p. 8. The first occurrence e of non-compliance without good cause results in FIP closure for not less than three calendar months; the second occurrence results in closure for not less than six months; and a third occurrence results in a FIP lifetime sanction. BEM 233A, p. 6.

In this case, Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FIP benefits. As a c ondition of receiving FIP benefits. Claiman t was required to participate in a work participation program. Claimant att ended her scheduled orientation on December 10, 2012 but did program from December 14, 2012 through not report back to the work participation December 16, 2012, as she was instructed to do. As a result of Claimant's failure to attend on January 4, 2013, the Department s ent Claimant a Noncomplianc e Warning Notice informing her that she was require d to attend a reengagement meeting on January 11, 2013 to discuss whether good cause existed for her lack of attendance in the work participation program. (Exhib it 2). Claimant attended the reengagement meeting and provided the Department with documentation establishing her good caus e for her lack of attendance. Claimant si gned a JET Program R eengagement Agreement whereby Claimant agreed to complete assi gned activities and turn in requir ed documentation among other things. (Exhibit 3).

At the hearing, the Department testified that Claimant was required to submit education logs on a weekly basis and a copy of her school schedule so that the Department could determine how many hours Claimant would be required to participate in the work program each week. Claimant te stified that at the reengagement meeting, she provided the Department with proof that she is enr olled in school and her schedule of classe s. Claimant also stated that at reengagement meeting she informed the Department that her school would not verify her attendance until the end of the semester; however, she did not get this in writing and subsequently did not inform the Department that she was having a problem getting her education logs signed by the school on a weekly basis.

After the reengagement meeting, Claimant failed to submit to the Department the required weekly education logs for her school attendance so that the Department could determine the proper work parti cipation hours for which she should be scheduled. As a result, on March 20, 2013 the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Noncomplianc e instructing her to attend a triage appointm ent on March 28, 2013 to discuss whether good cause existed for her noncomplianc e in submitting education logs. (Exhibit 5). On that same date, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing her that the Department intended to terminate her FIP benefits effective May 1, 2013 for failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities without good cause. (Exhibit 6). BEM 233A, pp. 7-9;BAM 220, p. 9.

A triage meeting was conducted on March 28, 2013, at which Claim ant appeared. The Department concluded that Clai mant did not have good cause for her failure to submit weekly education logs and that no good cause existed for her failure to inform the Department that she was hav ing problem s getting her weekly attendance and participation verified. BEM 233 A, p. 4. At the hearing, Claimant provided the clas s schedule that she submitted to the Department at the reengagement meeting; however, this was not sufficient for the Department to ver ify t hat she was attending an d participating in these cl asses weekly, which was a con dition of her eligibility to receive FIP benefits. (Exhibit A).

The Department closed Claimant's FIP case effective May 1, 2013 for failure to participate in employ ment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities without good caus e and a six month sanction was imposed . BEM 233A, p. 8. The Department acknowledged that the imposition of the six month penalty was improper and the correct penalty s hould be three months, as this was Claimant's first occurrence of noncompliance without good cause. BEM 233A, p. 6.

Because there was no good c ause establish ed for Cla imant's failure to submit the required education logs and fail ure to inform the Department of the difficulty she was having in getting her week ly attendance logs verified by her sc hool, the Department acted in ac cordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FIP case based on noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency re lated required activities without good cause. Howev er, the Depart ment did not act in accor dance with Department policy when it improperly imposed a six month sanction for Claimant's first

occurrence of noncompliance without good c ause. Accordingly, the Department's actions are AFFIRMED in part and REVERSED in part.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the re cord, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it terminated Claimant's FIP benefits effective May 1, 2013 due to noncomplia nce without good cause. It is further found that the Department did not act in accordance with Department polic y when it improperly imposed a six month sanction for Claimant's first occurrence of noncompliance without good cause e. Accordingly, the Department's actions are AFFIRMED in part and REVERSED in part.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Remove the six month pen alty imposed on Claimant 's FIP cas e for her f irst occurrence of noncompliance without good cause; and
- 2. Impose a three month penalty.

amab

Zainab Baydoun Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 8, 2013

Date Mailed: May 8, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Re Michigan Administrative Hearings consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/cl

