STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 2013-836 Issue No: 2009

Case No:

Hearing Date: January 10, 2013

Kent County DHS



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notic e, a telephone hearing was held on January 10, 2013. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On May 30, 2012, claimant filed an application for Medical Assistance and retroactive Medical Assistance benefits alleging disability.
- 2. On July 20, 2012, the Medical Review Team denied claimant's application stating that claimant's impairment lacked duration.
- 3. On August 21, 2012, the department case worker sent claimant notice that her application was denied.
- 4. On September 19, 2012, claim ant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- 5. On November 8, 2012, the State Hearing Revi ew Team again denied claimant's application stating in its analysis and recommended decision: the claimant has a history of cerebrovascular accident. She has decreased sensation on the right si de of her face and slurred speech. However, speech is clear. There's dec reased grip and fist strength and

muscle strength loss on the right side. The left side of the upper and lower extremity is normal. As a result of the claimant's combination of severe physical c ondition, she is c apable of past work as an underwriter assistant. Claimant is not engaging in substantial gain ful activity at this time. Claimant's severe impair ments do not meet or equal any listing. Despite the impairments, she retains the capacity to perform past work as an underwriter assistant. Therefore, based on the claimant's v ocational profile (close approaching retirement, 12th grade education, and light work ing Voca tional Rule 202. 07 as a guide. history): MA-P is denied us Retroactive MA-P benefits are deni ed at step 5 of the sequentia evaluation; claimant retains the capac ity to perform her past work as an underwriter assistant.

- 6. On the date of hearing claimant was a 63-year-old woman whose birth date is Claimant is 5'1.5" ta II and weighs 155 poun ds. Claimant is a high school graduate. Claimant is able to read and write and does have basic math skills.
- 7. Claimant last worked in 2007 as an insurance underwriter assistant which she had done for approximately 28 years before her company restructured and she was laid off. Claimant received Unempl oyment Compensation Benefits which ended in 2008 and she cur rently receives Soc ial Security Retirement benefits in the amount of \$ 100.
- 8. Claimant alleg es as disab ling im pairments: cerebral vascular accident, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, coronary artery disease, headaches, halting speech, dizziness, anxiety and depression.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an ap plicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to deter mine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past wor k, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experienc e. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

If the impairment or combination of impair ments do not signific antly limit physica I or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

- ...Medical reports should include -
- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of di sease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities with out significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as wa lking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other a cceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an indiv idual can do des pite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decis ion about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other ev idence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

A statement by a medical source finding that an individual is "doisabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that s everal considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analys is of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equiv alent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the forme r work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, t he client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, A ppendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

At Step 2, claimant has the burden of pr oof of establishing that she has a severe ly restrictive physical or mental impairment that has lasted or is expected to last for the duration of at least 12 months. There is sufficient objective clinical medical evidence in the record that claimant suffers a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment. Claimant did have a stroke in May, 2012 and her impairment s would not meet duration until May, 2013. However, she does have residual weakness on her right side, dizziness, hypertension, and pa in and therefore is not di squalified from receiving disability at step 2.

If claimant had not been denied at Step 2, the analysis would proceed to Step 3 where the medical evidence of claimant 's condition does not give rise to a finding that she would meet a statutory listing in the code of federal regulations.

At Step 4, this Administrative Law Judge fi nds that claimant could probably not perform her past relevant work as an insurance underwriter assistant as she does have some residual right sided weakness in both her leg and her right hand and arm. The Administrative Law Judge will continue to proceed to the sequential evaluation process

to determine whether or not claimant has a residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous task than her prior jobs. At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the Department to establish that claimant did not have residual functional capacity.

The residual functional capac ity is what a n individual can do d espite limitations. All impa irments will be considered in addition to abilit y to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medi um and heav y. These terms have the s ame meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary wo rk involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occ asionally lifting or carrying articles like docket file s, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is def ined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Claimant has submitted sufficient objective medical evidence that she lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some of her less strenuous task than her prior employment that she is physically unable to do sedentary tasks that are demanded of her. Sedentary tasks required a certain amount of walk ing and standing as well as carrying dockets, ledgers and small tools. Cla imant does not retain full manual hand dexterity in both hands and s he is of advanced age. Claimant has provided t he necessary objective medical evidence to establish that she has a sev ere impairment/combination of im pairments which would p revent her from performing any level of work for a period of at least 12 months. The claimant's testimony as to limitations indicates that she can probably not perform even sedentary work with her impairments. Therefore, this Administrati ve Law Judge finds the objective medica I evidence on the record does establis h that claimant has no residual functional capacity at this time. The claimant was hospitalized on May 26, 2012 due to a cerebral vascular accident (p 12-13). The physi cal examination on October 8, 2012 reported her speech was clear but halting. She had decreased sens ation on the right si de of her face and does slur her speech. She had a normal gai t without an assistive device, and she had full range of motion of all of her joints, but she had dec reased fist and grip strength on the right side with 80% grip remaining and full grip and fist strength on the left side. There was a mild decrease in digit dexterity on the right si de and full on the left side.

Mild muscle strength loss in the right upper extremity and right lower extremity. Claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at step 5 based on the fact that she has established by objective medical evidence that she cannot per form even sedentary work with her impairments. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, a person of advanced age (age 63), with a high school education and an unskilled/semi skilled work history who is limited to sedentary work is consider ed disabled pursuant to Medical Vocational Rule 201.06. The department has not estab lished by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when determining claim ant was not eligible to receive medical assistance benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the department has not properly established on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied claimant's application for Medical Assistance benefits. The department has not established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is **REVERSED**.

The department is ORDERED to reinstate claimant's May 30, 2012 medical assistance application. It is deter mined that claimant does meet the crit eria for disa bility related medical assistance from the May 30, 2012 application date.

The department is ORDERED to in itiate a review of the applicat ion, if it is not already done, to determine if all other non medical e ligibility criteria are met. The department shall inform the claimant of the determination in writing.

Landis

Y. Lain

Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 15, 2013

Date Mailed: January 15, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639

. LYL/las

cc:

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322