## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-38286 3002 June 10, 2013 Oakland (03)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on June 10, 2013, at Walled Lake, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Assistance Payments Supervisor.				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Due to excess income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☒ reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☐ rec	eived benefits fo	r:		
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).		

2.	On October 10, 2012, the Department		
3.	On March 18, 2013, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. closure. reduction.		
4.	On March 28, 2013, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the		
	denial of the application.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
☑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.			
Additionally, Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 500, "Income Overview," requires the Department to calculate FAP benefits using the customer's gross income. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 500 (2013). After the gross income is determined, the Department must use the FAP calculation formula provided in BEM 554, "FAP Allowable Expenses and Expense Budgeting." Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 554 (2012).			
At the hearing the Department's calculations were reviewed with the Claimant. it was explained to the Claimant that BEM procedures were in effect and were applied properly in her case. The expense deduction procedures were clarified, and the Claimant indicated that she was satisfied and now understood the procedure for presenting medical expense deductions to the Department each month.			
sta	sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ited on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess come, the Department $\square$ properly $\square$ improperly		
	<ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>		
for	: ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☒ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC.		

Date Mailed: June 12, 2013

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds $\boxtimes$ did act properly $\square$ did not act properly.	•			
and dot property and not dot property.				
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.				
	Jan Coentr			
	Jan Leventer			
	Administrative Law Judge			
	for Maura Corrigan, Director			
	Department of Human Services			
Date Signed: June 12, 2013	·			

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322
JL/tm

