STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-38096 Issue Nos.: 1038, 3008 Case No.: April 25, 2013 Hearing Date: Wayne (35) County:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 25, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Family Independence Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly \Box deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case for:

\times	
\times	

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for benefits received benefits for:

\geq	\langle
\geq	\langle

Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

2013-38096/JL

- On April 1, 2013, the Department
 denied Claimant's application
 due to a determination that she failed to participate in work-readiness activity.
- On or about March 26, 2013, the Department sent
 □ Claimant □ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)
 □ otice of the □ denial. □ closure.
- 4. On March 26, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ⊠ closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

∑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Additionally, Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105, "Rights and Responsibilities," states that the Department's duties are to determine eligibility, provide benefits and protect client rights. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105 (2013). At the hearing in this case the Department failed to present testimony to support its assertion that Claimant failed to participate in work-readiness activities. The Department's documents regarding this issue consisted solely of a Notice of Noncompliance stating that Claimant was noncompliant. There is no documentation establishing what action Claimant was required to take. There is no additional documentation or testimony to establish that Claimant failed to take the required action. In fact, there is not even an explanation as to the significance of the alleged noncompliance date of February 18, 2013, i.e., whether it is an actual date on which Claimant was required to take some action, or whether it is merely an administrative date when the JET program referred Claimant's case to the Department for a triage conference.

Because of the lack of evidence in this case it is impossible to determine that the Department acted in a way such as to accurately provide benefits and protect client rights. It is found and determined that the Department's action in this case was not taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure and the Department shall be reversed.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

properly denied Claimant's application
 properly closed Claimant's case
 improperly closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department i did act properly. i did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's FIP and FAP benefits.
- 2. Provide Claimant with Medical Deferral request forms.
- 3. Allow Claimant adequate time to submit her Medical Deferral request.
- 4. Process Claimant's Medical Deferral request via the Department's Medical Review Team.
- 5. Provide retroactive and ongoing FIP and FAP benefits to Claimant at the benefit levels to which she is entitled.
- 6. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>April 29, 2013</u> Date Mailed: <u>April 29, 2013</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of

the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

