STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



2013 38013
3008
April 25, 2013
Wayne (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Apr il 25, 2013, from Detroit, Mi chigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded the Claimant. Part icipants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ES.

ISSUE

rification requirements, did the Department Due to a failure to comply with the ve properly deny Claimant's application 🛛 close Claimant's case 🗌 reduce Claimant's benefits for:

imes	

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Cla imant applied for was receiving: FIP KAP MA SDA CDC.
- 2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by February 1, 2013 of changes to Claimant's semi-annual contact report.

On February 28, 2013, the Department

 ☐ denied Claimant's application.
 ☑ closed Claimant's case.

reduced Claimant's benefits .

4. On February 10, 2013, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application.

 \boxtimes closure of Claimant's case.

reduction of Claimant's benefits.

5. On March 25, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the

denial of Claimant's application.

 $\boxed{}$ closure of Claimant's case.

reduction of Claimant's benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Progr am (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FI P replac ed the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [form erly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administ ers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, at the hearing t he Claimant credibly test ified that she did not receive her Semi Annual Contact Report or the Notice of Potential F ood Assistance (FAP) closure. Claimant testified that the first she found out her FAP case c losed was when she attempted to use her Bridge card for FAP benefits and found it did not wor k, at which point she immediately filed her hearing req uest. Although she did not report previous problems with her mail, she did contact her postal carrier and the postmaster at the post office to determine why she did not receive e her mail after she learned why her case closed when she reported to the Depart ment to request a hearing. The Claimant's hearing request filed at that ti me also indicates that she did not receive the notices. Based on these facts it is determined that the Claimant did not receive either notice and thus did not respond to the Semi Annual Cont act Report or the No tice of FAP potential closure. Thus the Department did not properly close Claim ant's case as she did not refuse to cooperate, BAM 130 pp 6 (5/1/12).

Based upon th	e above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons
stated on the i	ecord, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
properly	⊠ improperly

 \boxtimes closed Claimant's case.

denied Claimant's application.

reduced Claimant's benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department i did act properly i did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is	AFFIRMED	REVERSED for the
reasons stated on the record.		

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. The Department shall rein state the Claimant's FAP cas e retroactive to the closure date of February 28, 2013 and shall determine Claimant's eligibility for FAP.

 The Department shall issue a FAP supplement to Claimant for FAP benefits, if any, the Claimant was ot herwise entitled to rec eive in ac cordance with Depar tment policy.

Lynn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 30, 2013

Date Mailed: April 30, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

