STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

|  | Reg. No.: | $2013-37995$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Issue No.: | 3019 |
|  | Case No.: |  |
|  | Hearing Date: | May 29, 2013 |
|  | County: | Genesee-06 |

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge purs uant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on May 29, 2013, at the DHS Genes ee County office. Claimant personally appeared and provided testimony. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist

## ISSUE

In dispute was whether the Department proper ly denied Claimant's redetermination for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) and Medical Assistance (MA) based on excess assets.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the competent, material, and substant ial evidence on the whole record, including the testimony of witnesses, the Administrative Law Judge, finds as relevant fact:

1. On March 7, 2013, Cla imant submitted a redete rmination for FAP and MA benefits.
2. On March 18, 2013, the Department sent Claimant notice of the reduction.
3. Beginning April 1, 2013, the Department closed Claimant's FAP and MA benefits due to excess assets.
4. On March 22, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing r equest, contesting the Department's closure of FAP and MA benefits.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The FAP [formerly known as the Food Stamp (F S) program] was estab lished by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of $t$ he Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department admin isters the F AP in
accordance with MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015. Agency policies pertaining to this program are found in the BAM, BEM, and RFT.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is establis hed by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of $t$ he Code of Federal Regula tions (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.

To determine FAP eligibility, the group's a ssets during the benefit month cannot exceed $\$ 5,000$. BEM 400. For MA eligibility, the group's assets cannot exceed $\$ 2,000$. Assets means cash, any other personal property and real property. At redetermination, Claimant listed cash in a savings account and a certificate of deposit.

Claimant testified during the hear ing that the certificate of deposit was for $\$$ and that she and her son each owned half. T he bank statement submitted by Claimant at redetermination shows the certificate of deposit was valued at $\$$

Because Claimant had in exc ess of $\$ 5,000$ in her certificate of deposit at the ti me of redetermination for the FAP and MA programs, the D epartment properly closed Claimant's benefits because she had excess assets.

## DECISION AND ORDER

Based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.
It is SO ORDERED.


Vicki L. Armstrong
Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services
Date Signed: May 30, 2013
Date Mailed: May 30, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S reconsideration on either its own motion or at mailing date of this Decisio $n$ and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration
on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claim ant may appeal the De cision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Dec ision and Order or, if a time ly request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:
Michigan Administrative Hearings
Recons ideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322
VLA/las
cc:


