## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	J TH		BA A	\ <b>T</b>	CCI	0	^		
III		16	IVI	۱ı		К (	u	-	Ī

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-37836 3002 May 2, 2013 Wayne Pathway (98)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer				
HEARING DEC	CISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrated and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on May 2, 2013, for behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Part Human Services (Department) included Potential program.	t for a hearing. rom Detroit, Michig <u>icipants</u> on behalf o	After due notice, a gan. Participants on		
ISSUE				
Due to a criminal disqualification, did the Depa application ☐ close Claimant's case ☑ reduce				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐	State Disability A	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF	<u>FACT</u>			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material t		rial, and substantial		
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☐	received benefits fo	r:		
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li> </ul>	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).		

2.	On March 1, 2013, the Department  denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case  reduced Claimant's benefits due to a criminal disqualification from receiving FAP benefits.					
3.	On February 11, 2013, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. closure. reduction.					
4.	On February 20, 2013, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the					
	☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits.					
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW						
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).						
☑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.						
Additionally, the Department's Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 203, "Criminal Justice Disqualifications," states that a person who is subject to arrest under an outstanding warrant arising from a felony charge against that person is not eligible for FAP benefits. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 203 (2013), p. 1. BEM 203 verification procedures to verify the customer's criminal justice system status, include a written statement from the Department's Office of the Inspector General (OIG).						
Mi fel	this case, on April 29, 2013, Robin Thomas, OIG Agent 235, sent a letter to the chigan Administrative Hearings System stating that Claimant has an outstanding ony warrant against her by the Detroit Police Department. The felony warrant was sued on January 24, 2013.					
wa acc	s found and determined that the OIG letter verifies that there is an outstanding felony arrant against the Claimant. This is the verification required by BEM 203, and cordingly, the Department acted correctly in this case in reducing Claimant's FAP oup benefits such that she is excluded from that benefit. The Department is FIRMED in this case					
sta	ised upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to a criminal equalification, the Department $\square$ properly $\square$ improperly					

Date Mailed: May 9, 2013

<ul> <li>denied Claimant's application</li> <li>reduced Claimant's benefits</li> <li>closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>	
for:	
DECISION AND ORDER	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the □ did act properly □ did not act properly.	
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\square$ MA [ is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the	
for	Jan Leventer Idministrative Law Judge Maura Corrigan, Director Imment of Human Services

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

## 2013-37836/JL

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## JL/tm

