STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



St. Joseph County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge purs uant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 25, 2013 fr om Lansing, Michigan. Part icipants on behalf of Cla imant included and accord Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included and and and and and accord and accord and accord and accord and accord and accord and and accord accord and accord and accord accor

ISSUE

Did the Department properly reduce the Claimant's benefits for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) and close the Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) (ALMB)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

I find as m aterial fact, based on the compet ent, material, and subst antial evidence on the whole record:

- 1. Claimant received benefits for FAP and MA.
- On March 14, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant a notice of case action. The notice indic ated the Claimant's F AP benefits wer e being reduced and MA (ALMB) benefits were being closed effective April 1, 2013.
- 3. On March 25, 2013, the Claimant reques ted a hear ing in dis pute of the FAP reduction and MA (ALMB) closure.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are containe d in the Bridges Administrati ve Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The F AP [formerly known as t he Food Stamp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the

Family Independence Agency) admini sters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is establis hed by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of t he Code of Federal Regula tions (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

For FAP purposes, all earned and unearned incom e availab le to Claimant is countable. Earned income means income received from anot her person or organization or from selfemployment for duti es that were performed for r compensation or profit. Unearned income means all income that is not earned, including but not limited to funds received from the Family Independence Program (F IP), State Dis ability Assistance (SDA), Child Development and Care (CDC), Medicaid (MA), Social Se curity Benefit s (RSDI/SSI), Veterans Administration (VA), Unemploy ment Compensation Benefits (UCB), A dult Medical Program (AMP), alimony, and child s upport payments. The amount counted may be more than the client actually receives because the gross amount is used prior to any deductions. BEM 500.

The Department determines a Client 's eligibility for program ben efits based on the Client's actual inc ome and/ or prospective income. Ac tual income is income that was already received. Prospective e income is income not yet received but expected. Prospective budgeting is the best estimate of the Client is future income. All income is converted to a standard monthly amount. If the Client is paid weekly, the Department multiplies the average weekly amount by 4.3. If the C lient is paid every other week , the Department multiplies the average bi-weekly amount by 2.15. BEM 505.

The Department uses past income to prospect in come for the future and us es income from the past 60 to 90 days for fluctuating or irregul ar income if the past 30 days are not a good indicator of future income and the fluctuations of income during the past 60 to 90 days appear to accurately reflect the income t hat is expected to be received in the benefit month. BEM 505.

Irregular income is defined as income that is not received on a regular schedule or that is received unpredictably, such as a person self-employed. BEM 505.

In this case, there w as no dispute as to how the Claimant's income was classified. The Claimant agreed that her earnings were from self-employm ent and that they were indeed sporadic. Because of this, I find that t he Department properly classified the Claimant's income as irregular. In additi on, I find that using just the past 30 days of income would be insufficient as it is not a good indicator of fu ture i ncome. Therefor e, again, I find the Department properly used the past 60-90 days of income in determining the Claimant's prospective income.

Accordingly, the information provided by t he Department in regards to the Claimant's FAP determination is c orrect as I find all the calcul ations to be appropriat e and thus affirm the Department's FAP decision.

In regards to the MA (ALMB) closure, the Department was unable to explain or show how the Department came to the conclusion the Claimant had excess income for the MA (ALMB) program. The Department failed to present any budget or testify to the calculations made. Therefore, I was unable to det ermine whet her or not the D epartment acted in accordance with the applic able policies in determining the Claimant had excess income for the MA (ALMB) program.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Department did act properly in reducing the Claimant's FAP benefits but did not act properly in closing the Claimant's MA (ALMB) case.

Accordingly, the Department's FAP dec ision is **AFFIRMED** and the MA dec ision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate a redetermination as t ot he Claimant's eligibility for MA benefits beginning April 1, 2013 and issue retroactive benefits if otherwise qualified and eligible.

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 26, 2013

Date Mailed: April 26, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claim ant may appeal the De cision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Dec ision and Order or, if a time ly request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be gr anted if t here is newly disco vered evidence that c ould affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/las

