### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



2013-36820 Reg. No.: Issue No.: 3008 Case No.: April 22, 2013 Hearing Date: Wayne (82-31) County:

## **ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Michael J. Bennane

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 22, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

### ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly  $\bigotimes$  deny Claimant's application  $\bigcap$  close Claimant's case  $\bigcap$  reduce Claimant's benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant 🛛 applied for 🗌 was receiving: 🗌 FIP 🖾 FAP 🦳 MA 🔤 SDA 🔤 CDC.
- 2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by February 21, 2013.

- On January 31, 2013, the Department
   ☑ denied Claimant's application.
   ☑ closed Claimant's case.
  - reduced Claimant's benefits .
- 4. On March 1, 2013, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application.
  closure of Claimant's case.
  reduction of Claimant's benefits.
- 5. On March 21, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the
  - $\boxtimes$  denial of Claimant's application.
  - closure of Claimant's case.
  - reduction of Claimant's benefits.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015

On February 11, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a verification checklist (VCL) with a due date of February 21, 2013. The VCL included six items, four of which were returned in a timely manner, and two of which Claimant testified that she requested the help of the Department because her employer refused to supply the requested information.

Department policy states:

The client must obtain required verification, but you must assist if they need and request help.

If neither the client nor you can obtain verification despite a reasonable effort, use the best available information. If **no** evidence is available, use your best judgment. BAM 130 (May 2012), p. 3.

Here, the Department had check stubs from the employment in question, but requested further information. The claimant testified that she called the department numerous times to report her inability to get the requested documentation from her former employer and to seek assistance in obtaining same.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

properly 🛛 improperly

closed Claimant's case.

 $\boxtimes$  denied Claimant's application.

reduced Claimant's benefits.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department i did act properly idd not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is  $\Box$  AFFIRMED  $\boxtimes$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate the reinstatement and processing of the claimant's January 31, 2013, FAP application and supplement for lost benefits.

Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 21, 2013

Date Mailed: May 28, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

```
MJB/pf
```

