### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-36729 Issue No.: 3008 Case No.: April 25, 2013 Hearing Date: Wayne (82-15) County:

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 25, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

### ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly  $\Box$  deny Claimant's application  $\boxtimes$  close Claimant's case  $\Box$  reduce Claimant's benefits for:

	Family Independence Proc
imes	Food Assistance Program
	Medical Assistance (MA)?

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for was receiving: FIP KAP MA SDA CDC.
- 2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by March 11, 2013.
- 3. On March 1, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application.
  - $\times$  closed Claimant's case.

reduced Claimant's benefits .

- 4. On March 13, 2013, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application.
  - $\boxtimes$  closure of Claimant's case.
  - reduction of Claimant's benefits.
- 5. On March 18, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of Claimant's application.

 $\boxtimes$  closure of Claimant's case.

reduction of Claimant's benefits.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015

Additionally, at the hearing, Claimant stated that she called the Department because she received the Verification Checklist late and could not gather the information requested in a timely manner.

The hearing further revealed that the Department did not receive the information it requested until March 17, 2013, by which time the Department had already closed Claimant's FAP case.

Department policy states:

The client must obtain required verification, but you must assist if they need and request help. BEM 130 (November 30, 2012), p. 3.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly

 $\boxtimes$  closed Claimant's case.

denied Claimant's application.

reduced Claimant's benefits.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  $\square$  did act properly  $\square$  did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is  $\square$  AFFIRMED  $\square$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

**Michael J. Bennane** Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 13, 2013

Date Mailed: May 14, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

### 2013-36729/MJB

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## MJB/pf

