STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF:

Reg No.: 2012-3558 Issue No.: 2009 Case No.: Hearing Date: January 24, 2013 Wayne County DHS (19)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conduct ed from Detr oit, Michigan on Thursday, January 24, 2013. The Claimant appeared and te stified. Participating on behalf of the Department of Human Services ("Department") was and the stified. Medical Contact Worker.

ISSUE

Whether the Department proper ly determined that the Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance ("MA-P") benefit program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant applied for Medical Ass istance MA-P on Sept ember 29, 2011 and for retro MA-P (June 2011)
- 2. The SSA found the Claimant not disabled after an appeal on June 20, 2012. Exhibit 3.
- 3. The Claimant did not appeal the June 20, 2012 SSA appeal decision.
- 4. On July 9, 2012, the Medical Review Team ("MRT") found the Claimant not disabled. (Exhibit 1)

2013-3558/LMF

- 5. The Department notified the Claimant of the MRT decision on July 9, 2012.
- 6. On September 25, 2012 t he Department received the Cla imant's timely written request for hearing.
- 7. On December 3, 2012, the State Hearing Review Team ("SHRT") found the Claimant not disabled. (Exhibit 2)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance program is established by Subchapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act. 42 USC 1397 and is administered by the Department of Human Services pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et. seq.* The Department of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and Mic h Admin Code, Rules 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manu al ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Manual ("BRM").

The disability standard for both disab ility-related MA and SSI is the same. BEM 271, (2012), p. 1. When the SSA d etermines that a client is not disabled/blind for SSI purposes, the client may appeal that determination at SSA. BEM 260 (2011), p. 9. The SSA Appeals Process consists of three steps:

- 1. Reconsideration (if initial application filed prior to October 1, 1999)
- 2. Hearing
- 3. Appeals Council

BEM 260, p. 9. The client has 60 days from the dat e he receives a denial notice to appeal an SSA actio n. BEM 2 60, p. 3, 9; BEM 271 , p. 7. An SSA dete rmination becomes final when no further appeals may be made at SSA. BEM 260, 3. Once an SSA's determination that a disability or blindness does not exist becomes final, the MA case must be closed. BEM 260, p. 3; BEM 271, p. 8.

In the record presented, t he SSA found t he Claima nt not disabled. The Claimant's testimony revealed that he did not appeal this determination. As such, the denial is a final determination. The Cla imant has not alleged a new disabling impairment. At the hearing the claimant alleged the same impair ments considered by the S SA, diabetic neuropathy and bipolar disorder. In light of the foregoing, the final SSA determination is binding on the Claim ant's MA -P case. Accordingly, t he Department's determination denying the Claimant's 9/29/11 application for MA-P is AFFIRMED.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds the Claimant not disabled for purposes of the MA-P benefit program.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

The Department's determination is AFFIRMED.

Lvnn M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 4, 2013

Date Mailed: February 4, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2013-3558/LMF

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at: Michigan Administrative Hearings Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

CC: