#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:2Issue No.:2Case No.:2Hearing Date:7County:1

2013-35575 2006, 3008

April 18, 2013 Macomb-12 County DHS

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Apr il 18, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included and Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

#### <u>ISSUE</u>

Due to a failure to c omply with verification requirem ents, did the Department properly close the Claimant's Food As sistance Program (FAP) benefit s and Medical Assistance (MA) benefits for her son?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

I find as material fact based upon competen t, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses:

- 1. As of January 11, 2013, the Claimant was receiving FAP benefits and MA assistance for herself and her son.
- 2. On January 11, 2013, the Depa rtment sent the Claim ant a new hire client notice. The notice was due by January 22, 2013.
- 3. As of January 22, 2013, the Claimant had not returned the requested new hir e client notice.
- On March 13, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant a notice of case action. The notice indicated the Department was clos ing the Claimant's F AP case and MA benefits for her son effective April 1, 2
  013 for failing to return the requested verifications.

5. On March 18, 2013, the Claimant requested a hearing.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FAP [formerly known as the Food Stamp (F S) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in T itle 7 of t he Code of Federal Regulations (CF R). The Department (formerly known as the Fa mily Independence Agenc y) admin isters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The MA program is established by the Titl e XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of t he Code of F ederal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Fa mily Independence Agenc y) admin isters the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

Clients have the right to contest a Departm ent decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to rev iew the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. (BAM 600).

Department policy indicates the at clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility with all programs. (BAM 105). This includes completion of the necessary forms. Clie ints who are able to but refuse to provide necessary information or take a required action are subject to penalties. (BAM 105).

Testimony and other evidence must be we ighed and considered according to its reasonableness.<sup>1</sup> Moreover, the weight and credibi lity of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine.<sup>2</sup> In evaluating the credibility and weight to be given the testimony of a witness, the fact-finder may consider the demeanor of the witness, the reasonableness of the witness 's testimony, and the interest, if any, the witness may have in the outcome of the matter.<sup>3</sup>

I have carefully considered and weighed the testimony and other evidence in the record and find the Department's testimony to be s lightly more credible than the Claimant as the Department witnesses had a clearer recolle ction of the dates, times and events in question. Furthermore, although the Claimant alleges to have not received the new hire client notice until 2 days prior to it bei ng due; the details surrounding the receipt were lacking. Therefore, I find the Claimant at no point in time complied with the verifications as required and requested.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *Gardiner v Courtright*, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); *Dep't of Community Health v Risch*, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Dep't of Community Health*, 274 Mich App at 372; *People v Terry*, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *People v Wade*, 303 Mich 303 (1942), *cert den*, 318 US 783 (1943).

Accordingly, I find evidence to affirm the Department's actions.

### DECISION AND ORDER

I find, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

f act

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 19, 2013

Date Mailed: April 19, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical errors, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

# 2013-35575/CAA

# CAA/las

