STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	THE	MΔ.	TTFF	R OF:
114	INE	IVIA	IIEI	۱UF.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013 3537 2006 December 20, 2012 Oakland (02)				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris						
HEARING DECISION						
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request fo ra hearing. After due notice, an in person hearing was held on De cember 20, 2012, from Madison Heights, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included ; the Claimant did not appear. Part icipants on behalf of the Depar tment of Human Services (Department) included , Assistance Payments Supervisor.						
<u>ISSUE</u>						
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification requirements, did the Department properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits for:						
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the vidence on the whole record, including testimon		•				
On March 8, 2012 the Claimant ⊠ applied for ☐ was receiving: ☐FIP ☐FAP ☐MA ☐SDA ☐CDC.						
 The March 8, 2012 applic ation did not i ndid Authorized Hearing Representative. 	cate that the Clai ma	nt had an appointed				

3.	Claimant was required to submit requested verification by March 22, 2012.						
4.	On April 3, 2012 filed a letter with the Department st ating that it was sending an authorization to represent, a form signed by the Claimant, a 2565, and medical records for hospital admission. The authorization to represent is signed but undated. Exhibit 5						
5.	On March 1, 2012, the Department ☑ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's case. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits .						
6.	On April 3, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.						
tim	The Notice of Case Action was dated April 3, 2012 was issued at 8:22 a.m. at which time the application for medical assistance was denied. Exhibit 4 and 1.						
7.	On September 25, 2012, Claimant's hearing request, protesting the denial of claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.						
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW						
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).						
Re 42 Ag 31	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FI P replac ed the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective stober 1, 1996.						
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015						

\boxtimes The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.
Additionally, in this case the Department properly denied the Cla imant's application for Medical Assistance when it did not receive a response to the verification checklist by the due date. The Department denied the application at 8:22 a.m. on April 3, 2012 and thus was not required to respond to the info rmation sent by the Authoriz ed Hearing Representative received on the same date. No testimony was received or offered to contend that the information submittal by the AHR was received prior to the denial, and thus clearly the Department based its denial appropriately on the Claimant's failure to respond to the request for verifications.
Based upon the recor d presented the Depa rtment properly deni ed the application for failure to verify information and in doing so acted in accordance with Department policy. Additionally, the Authorized Hearing Representative had no right to request a hearing regarding the denial of the March 8, 2012 application as it was not an authorized representative as regards the Claimant's application dated March 8, 2012. The Claimant's application was denied prior to the AHR's submission of April 3, 2012 notifying the Department of its representation.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ☐ properly ☐ improperly
☐ closed Claimant's case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

⊠ did act properly	did not act prope	rly.	
Accordingly, the Depar reasons stated on the re		□ AFFIRMED	☐ REVERSED for the
		- Top	M. Serris
			Lynn M. Ferris` Administrative Law Judge or Maura Corrigan, Director ortment of Human Services

Date Signed: January 10, 2013

Date Mailed: January 10, 2013

LMF/cl

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

2013-3537/LMF

